ГОДИШНИК НА СОФИЙСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ "СВ. КЛИМЕНТ ОХРИДСКИ"

ФАКУЛТЕТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА И ИНФОРМАТИКА Том 103

ANNUAL OF SOFIA UNIVERSITY "ST. KLIMENT OHRIDSKI"

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS Volume 103

MANIFOLDS ADMITTING A STRUCTURE OF FOUR DIMENTIONAL ALGEBRA OF AFFINORS

ASEN HRISTOV, GEORGI KOSTADINOV

The purpose of this note is to describe some properties of manifolds endowed with an almost tangent structure T, $T^2 = 0$ and an almost complex structure J, $J^2 = -E$, E = id.

We consider a linear connection ∇ on N, which is compatible with the algebraic structure, i.e. $\nabla J = 0$, $\nabla T = 0$. The existence of ideals in corresponding algebra implies the existence of autoparallel submanifolds of N.

Keywords: Four dimentional associative algebra, affinely connected manifold, algebra of fiber-preserving operators

2010 Math. Subject Classification: 53C15, 58A30, 53C07

1. ALGEBRAIC PRELIMINARIES

Let us consider a real associative algebra \mathfrak{A} with the unit element e and two generators i, ε satisfying

$$i^2 = -e, \quad \varepsilon^2 = 0,$$

under the requirement $\dim \mathfrak{A} = 4$ [1].

We distinguish three cases described by the relations

$$i\varepsilon = \varepsilon i$$
, (1.1)

$$i\varepsilon = -\varepsilon i$$
, (1.2)

89

Ann. Sofia Univ., Fac. Math and Inf., 103, 2016, 89–95.

$$i\varepsilon + \varepsilon i = e. \tag{1.3}$$

The corresponding algebras are denoted by $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_3$, respectively.

Proposition 1. The algebras \mathfrak{A}_1 and \mathfrak{A}_2 possess nontrivial ideals while \mathfrak{A}_3 is a simple algebra.

Proof. Let us denote $i\varepsilon = \varepsilon i = k$. Then we have the following table of multiplications of \mathfrak{A}_1

	e	i	ε	k
e	e	i	ε	k
i	i	-e	k	ε
ε	ε	k	0	0
k	k	$-\varepsilon$	0	0

Obviously, $\{e, i, \varepsilon, k\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{A}_1 and $\{\varepsilon, k\}$ is an ideal with zero-multiplication.

Similarly to the previous case, \mathfrak{A}_2 admits an ideal, too.

Now we consider the algebra \mathfrak{A}_3 . The mapping

$$\varphi:\mathfrak{A}_3\to M(2)\,,$$

where M(2) is the algebra of (2×2) real matrices defined by

$$\varphi(e) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi(i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi(\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

is an isomorphism. It is well-known that the algebra M(2) is simple. That completes the proof.

2. MANIFOLDS OVER ALGEBRAS

Let N be a manifold of class C^{∞} , TN - the tangent bundle of N, $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ - the F(N) - module of global sections of TN, F(N)-the ring the smooth functions on N. Let A(TN) be the algebra of F(N) - linear operators of $\mathfrak{X}(N)$. It can be identified with the algebra of fiber-preserving automorphisms of TN.

Let us consider a real associative algebra \mathfrak{A} with unit element e. A morphism of algebras $\Phi : \mathfrak{A} \to A(TN)$ such that $\Phi(e) = I$, the identity operator of A(TN)will be called an \mathfrak{A} - *structure* on N. A linear connection ∇ on N is said to be *compatible* with the \mathfrak{A} - *structure* if $\nabla \Phi(a) = 0$, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, i.e. each operator $\Phi(a)$ is parallel with respect to ∇ . An \mathfrak{A} - *structure* is said to be integrable if for each point p exists a neighborhood U, such that the operator $\Phi(a)$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ have constant components in corresponding coordinate chart.

Ann. Sofia Univ., Fac. Math and Inf., 103, 2016, 89–95.

If \mathfrak{B} is an ideal of \mathfrak{A} , we define a distribution D in TN as follows:

$$D_p = \{\Phi(b)v \in T_pN; \text{ for all } b \in B \text{ and } v \in T_pN\}$$

In other words, at each point $p \in N$, D_p is the image of T_pN by the operators corresponding to the elements of \mathfrak{B} . This distribution is invariant with respect to all operators $\Phi(a), a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Proposition 2. Let \mathfrak{A} is associative unitary *R*-algebra, *N* be a manifold with \mathfrak{A} - *structure* and ∇ be a linear connection on *N*. If $\nabla \Phi(a_i) = 0$ for all basis elements a_i of \mathfrak{A} then ∇ is compatible with $\mathfrak{A}.\Box$

Proof. The operator $\nabla : \mathfrak{D}(N) \to \mathfrak{D}(N)$ is a differentiation of the tensor algebra on N. If $\Phi(a_i) = A_i \in \mathfrak{D}_1^1(N), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, it follows that

$$\nabla_X(A_iA_j) = \nabla_X(A_i)A_j + A_i\nabla_X(A_j) = 0$$

The following theorem is proved in [2], p. 118.

Theorem 1. Let (M, ∇) be an affinely connected analytical manifold equipped with an \mathfrak{A} - structure compatible with ∇ . Then the following properties are satisfied:

1. The distribution D is involutive;

2. If N' is a maximal integral submanifold of D through any point of N, then it is autoparallel submanifold of N;

3. On each N' acts the quotient - algebra $\mathfrak{A}/O(\mathfrak{B})$, where $O(\mathfrak{B})$ is the annihilator of the ideal \mathfrak{B} in algebra \mathfrak{A} .

3. ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_3$

The integrability conditions of these structures are given in [1].

According to the previous notations, we set $\Phi(e) = I$, $\Phi(i) = J$ and $\Phi(\varepsilon) = T$, by I we denote the unit matrix and we set JT = K. Moreover, we suppose that

$$Im T = Ker T = \frac{1}{2} \dim N.$$

Theorem 2. Let N be a manifold with an integrable algebraic structure of type \mathfrak{A}_i , (i = 1, 2) and ∇ be torsion-free connection compatible with the algebraic structure, i.e. $\nabla J = 0, \nabla T = 0$. Then there exists an \mathfrak{A}_i - invariant foliation N' in N, i.e. at any point $p \in N' \subset N$ the tangent space T_pN' is invariant with respect to J and T.

Proof. Case (1): $J^2 = -I, T^2 = 0, JT = TJ$ and Ker T = Im T. We denote by D the distribution Ker T = Im T. It can be easily seen that the following holds: $JD \subseteq D, TD \subseteq D$. This implies that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, so we can write n = 4m.

For any point of N there exist an open neighborhood with a chart (x^1, \ldots, x^{4m}) on it such that with respect to the basis $\partial/\partial x^1, \ldots, \partial/\partial x^{4m}$ the tensors J and T have matrix expression:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote $(x^1, \ldots, x^{4m}) = (x^i, x^{i+m}, x^{i+2m}, x^{i+3m}), (i = 1, \ldots, m)$. Every integral submanifold N' of D = ImT has coordinates $(x_0^i, x_0^{i+m}, x^{i+2m}, x^{i+3m})$.

We have $\mathfrak{A} = \{I, J, T, K\}$, $\mathfrak{B} = \{T, K\}$ - an ideal, the annihilator $O(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{B}$, $\mathfrak{A}/O(\mathfrak{B}) \approx \{I, J\}$.

The restriction of J on D is the following

Here $v = (0, 0, v^{i+2m}, v^{i+3m}) \in D$ and by I we denote the unit $(n \times n)$ - matrix.

Case (2): JT = -TJ.

Let M be a manifold provided with a \mathfrak{A}_2 - *structure*. Similarly to the previous case, one may choose an atlas, such that with respect to any chart $U_x \subset N$ the operators J and T have the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & -I & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \end{array}\right) \text{ and } \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

Now we start considering the last case.

Case (3): N is a manifold provided with a couple J, T of tensor fields of type (1,1), satisfying $J^2 = -I$, $T^2 = 0$ and JT + TJ = I. Here it is not necessary to require that Ker T = Im T, because it follows from the relation between J and T. Obviously, we can write n = 2m.

Proposition 3. An \mathfrak{A}_3 - structure on a smooth manifold N may be given equivalently:

1. By the operators P and Q, such that

 $P^2 = I, \quad Q^2 = I \quad \text{and} \quad PQ + QP = 0,$

2. By the operators J and P, such that

 $J^2 = -I, \quad P^2 = I \quad \text{and} \quad JP = -PJ.$

Proof: 1. If we set P = JT - TJ and Q = J + 2T, by using the characteristic identity in *Case (3)* we have

$$\begin{split} P^2 &= (JT - TJ)(JT - TJ) = JTJT - JTTJ - TJJT + TJTJ \\ &= JT(I - TJ) + TJ(I - JT) = JT + TJ = I , \\ Q^2 &= (J + 2T)(J + 2T) = J^2 + 2JT + 2TJ = -I + 2I = I . \end{split}$$

2. In analogy with the previous case we have

$$JP = J(JT - TJ) = J^{2}T - JTJ = -T - J(I - JT)$$

= -T - J - T = -J - 2T,
$$PJ = (JT - TJ)J = JTJ + T = J(I - JT) + T = J + 2T$$

Remark 1. In [1] the structure $\{J, P\}$ is called a *complex product structure*.

The next theorem is a modification of the result of A. Andrada [3].

Theorem 3. Let N be a manifold with an \mathfrak{A}_3 -structure, given by the operators $\{J, T\}$. Then:

1. There exists a unique torsion-free connection ∇ with respect to which J and T are parallel;

2. The leaves of the distribution $\mathfrak{D} = Im T$ are flat autoparallel submanifolds of N.

Proof. The connection ∇ , which preserves the tensor fields J and P is given by

$$\nabla_x Y = \frac{1}{4} \{ [X, Y] - [PX, PY] + P[X, PY] - P[PX, Y] - J[X, JY] - J[PX, Q] + Q[X, QY] + Q[PX, JY] \}$$

where Q = -JP.

Since $T = \frac{1}{2}(PJ - J)$, it follows that $\nabla P = \nabla J = 0$. Our assertion follows from Proposition 2.

We may choose an atlas on N, whose Jacobian matrices are local constant. Then the operators J and T have the following form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{array}\right) \text{ and } \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

where I is the unit $(n \times n)$ - matrix. The theorem is proved.

Ann. Sofia Univ., Fac. Math and Inf., 103, 2016, 89–95.

93

Remark 2. Another proof of the existence and uniqueness of ∇ is given in [1].

Remark 3. In this case the distribution D = ImT is not invariant with respect to the operator J.

Remark 4. As it is shown in [1], [3] the connection ∇ does not need to be flat.

An essential property of the tangent bundle TM is the fact that it bears a *tan*gent structure. More precisely, let $\pi : TM \to M$ and $K : TTM \to TM$ be natural projection and connection maps of ∇ , respectively. If X is a vector field on M, we may define vertical lift X^v and horizontal lift X^h on TM by the relations

$$(d\pi)X^{\nu} = 0, \qquad KX^{\nu} = X,$$

$$(d\pi)X^{h} = X, \qquad KX^{h} = 0.$$

From a basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ we get the basis of $\mathfrak{X}(TM) : \{X_k^h, X_n^v\}, k = 1, \ldots, n$. With respect to this basis the tangent structure has the matrix expression mentioned above. We define

$$\tilde{J}: X^h \to X^v, \quad X^v \to -X^h, \quad \tilde{J^2} = -I.$$

By setting $J = -\tilde{J}$, this leads us to the \mathfrak{A}_3 algebra.

Theorem 4. The manifold TM can be endowed with integrable operators P, Q, subject to the relations

$$P^2 = I, \ Q^2 = I, \ PQ = QP = 0.$$

Proof. Let us set P = JT - TJ and Q = J + 2T. By using the identity in Case (3) of Theorem 2, we can easily verify our statement.

The integrability of J and T implies the integrability of P and Q.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work is partially supported by Project NI15-FMI-004 of the Scientific Research Fund of Plovdiv University.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] Bures, J., Vanzura, J.: Simultaneous integrability of an almost complex and an almost tangent structure. *Czech Math. J.*, **32**, no. 4, 1982, 556–558.
- [2] Vishnevsky, V., Shirokov, A., Shurygin, V.: Spaces over algebras, Kazan University, 1985 (in Russian).

 \square

[3] Andrada, A: Complex product structures and affine foliations, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 27, no. 4, 2005, 377–405.

Received on November 1, 2015

Asen Hristov, Georgi Kostadinov Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics "P. Hilendarski" University of Plovdiv 236, Bulgaria blvd., BG-4000 Plovdiv BULGARIA

e-mails: asehri@uni-plovdiv.bg geokost@uni-plovdiv.bg