

**ESTIMATION OF THE ERROR OF RUNGE-KUTTA'S  
METHOD IN MULTIVARIATE CASE**

**Hussain Ali Al-Juboury**

**Хусейн Али Ал-Джубури. ОЦЕНКА ПОГРЕШНОСТЕЙ МНОГОМЕРНОГО МЕТОДА РУНГЕ-КУТТА**

В работе получена оценка погрешностей многомерного метода Рунге-Кутты первого и второго порядка через усредненными модулями. Оценка получается без дополнительных ограничений в гладкости решения. Пользуясь свойствами модулей можно вывести разные порядки сходимости.

**Hussain Ali Al-Juboury. ESTIMATION OF THE ERROR OF RUNGE-KUTTA'S METHOD IN MULTIVARIATE CASE**

The error estimates are obtained for Runge-Kutta's methods of first and second order in the multidimensional case by means of averaged moduli of smoothness without any additional assumptions on the solutions of the equations. The different orders of convergence can be derived from these estimates using the properties of the moduli of smoothness.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

In this paper we shall obtain error estimates of the numerical solution for the  $m$ -dimensional Cauchy problem of the Runge-Kutta's methods with local error of second and third degree using the averaged modulus of smoothness (which is denoted by  $\tau(f; \delta)_L$ , see [4]). All notations and definitions, which are used here are involved in [4].

From the properties of the averaged moduli of smoothness, which are mentioned at the end of this paragraph, together with theorems (1) and (2) below, we can obtain many consequences such as that the classical orders of the error  $O(h)$  and  $O(h^2)$  are obtained under weaker assumptions on the solutions.

Here we list the main properties of averaged moduli of smoothness (see [1]-[3]):

- 1)  $\tau_k(f; \delta')_{L_p} \leq \tau_k(f; \delta'')_{L_p}$ , for  $\delta' \leq \delta''$ ;
- 2)  $\tau_k(f + g; \delta)_{L_p} \leq \tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p} + \tau_k(g; \delta)_{L_p}$ ;
- 3)  $\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq 2\tau_{k-1}(f; \frac{k}{k-1}\delta)_{L_p}$ ;
- 4)  $\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq \delta\tau_{k-1}(f'; \frac{k}{k-1}\delta)_{L_p}$ ;
- 5)  $\tau_k(f; n\delta)_{L_p} \leq (2n)^{k+1}\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p}$ ;
- 6)  $\tau_k(f; \lambda\delta)_{L_p} \leq (2(\lambda + 1))^{k+1}\tau_k(f; \delta)_{L_p}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- 7)  $\tau(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq \delta\|f'\|_{L_p}$ ;
- 8)  $\tau(f; \delta)_{L_p} \leq \delta V_a^b f$  (where  $V_a^b f$  is the variation of the function  $f$  between  $a$  and  $b$ ).

## 2. RUNGE-KUTTA'S METHODS

We shall mention briefly the result of the one dimensional case. Consider the following ordinary differential equation with the initial value:

$$y' = f(x, y), \quad x \in [0, A], \quad A > 0,$$

$$y(0) = y_0,$$

and assume that the right hand side of the equation satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to the variable  $y$ , i.e.:

$$|f(x, y) - f(x, z)| \leq K|y - z|,$$

where  $K$  is an absolute constant and  $x_i = ih$ ,  $h = A/n$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If we apply Euler's method then the following estimate holds:

$$\tilde{y}_{i+1} = \tilde{y}_i + hf(x_i, \tilde{y}_i), \quad \tilde{y}_0 = y_0,$$

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |y_i - \tilde{y}_i| \leq 2e^{AK} \tau(y'; h)_{L_p}$$

(see [4]).

Suppose that we have a system of  $m$  ordinary differential equations with initial conditions as follows:

$$(y^1)' = f^1(x, y^1, \dots, y^m), \quad y^1(0) = y_0^1,$$

$$(y^2)' = f^2(x, y^1, \dots, y^m), \quad y^2(0) = y_0^2,$$

.....

$$(y^m)' = f^m(x, y^1, \dots, y^m), \quad y^m(0) = y_0^m.$$

We shall need the following generalized Lipschitz condition:

$$|f^m(x, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^m) - f^m(x, \tilde{y}^1, \tilde{y}^2, \dots, \tilde{y}^m)| \leq K \{|y^1 - \tilde{y}^1| + |y^2 - \tilde{y}^2| + \dots + |y^m - \tilde{y}^m|\}.$$

By Euler's method:

$$\tilde{y}_{i+1}^1 = \tilde{y}_i^1 + hf^1(x_i, \tilde{y}_i^1, \tilde{y}_i^2, \dots, \tilde{y}_i^m),$$

$$\tilde{y}_{i+1}^2 = \tilde{y}_i^2 + hf^2(x_i, \tilde{y}_i^1, \tilde{y}_i^2, \dots, \tilde{y}_i^m),$$

.....

$$\tilde{y}_{i+1}^m = \tilde{y}_i^m + hf^m(x_i, \tilde{y}_i^1, \tilde{y}_i^2, \dots, \tilde{y}_i^m),$$

estimating the error in the  $i$ -th step by means of the error in the  $(i-1)$ -th step, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & |y_{i+1}^1 - \tilde{y}_{i+1}^1| \\ &= |y_{i+1}^1 - \tilde{y}_i^1 - hf^1(x_i, \tilde{y}_i^1, \dots, \tilde{y}_i^m) + hf^1(x_i, y_i^1, \dots, y_i^m) - hf^1(x_i, y_i^1, \dots, y_i^m)| \\ &\leq |y_{i+1}^1 - \tilde{y}_i^1 + y_i^1 - y_i^1 - hf^1(x_i, \tilde{y}_i^1, \dots, \tilde{y}_i^m) + hf^1(x_i, y_i^1, \dots, y_i^m) - hf^1(x_i, y_i^1, \dots, y_i^m)| \\ &\leq |y_{i+1}^1 - y_i^1 - h(y_i^1)'| + |hf^1(x_i, \tilde{y}_i^1, \dots, \tilde{y}_i^m) - hf^1(x_i, y_i^1, \dots, y_i^m)| + |y_i^1 - \tilde{y}_i^1|, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |y_{i+1}^1 - \tilde{y}_{i+1}^1| \\ &\leq h\omega((y^1)', x_{i+1/2}; h) + Kh\{|y_i^1 - \tilde{y}_i^1| + \dots + |y_i^m - \tilde{y}_i^m|\} + |y_i^1 - \tilde{y}_i^1|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |y_{i+1}^2 - \tilde{y}_{i+1}^2| \\ &\leq h\omega((y^2)', x_{i+1/2}; h) + Kh\{|y_i^1 - \tilde{y}_i^1| + \dots + |y_i^m - \tilde{y}_i^m|\} + |y_i^2 - \tilde{y}_i^2|, \end{aligned}$$

$$|y_{i+1}^m - \tilde{y}_{i+1}^m|$$

$$\leq h\omega((y^m)', x_{i+1/2}; h) + Kh\{|y_i^1 - \tilde{y}_i^1| + \dots + |y_i^m - \tilde{y}_i^m|\} + |y_i^m - \tilde{y}_i^m|.$$

Let  $\psi_i = |y_i^1 - \tilde{y}_i^1| + \dots + |y_i^m - \tilde{y}_i^m|$ , then

$$\psi_{i+1} \leq h[\omega((y^1)', x_{i+1/2}; h) + \dots + \omega((y^m)', x_{i+1/2}; h)] + (1 + mKh)\psi_i,$$

and

$$\psi_i \leq h[\omega((y^1)', x_{i-1/2}; h) + \dots + \omega((y^m)', x_{i-1/2}; h)] + (1 + mKh)\psi_{i-1},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{i+1} &\leq h(1 + mKh)[\omega((y^1)', x_{i-1/2}; h) + \dots + \omega((y^m)', x_{i-1/2}; h)] \\ &\quad + [\omega((y^1)', x_{i+1/2}; h) + \dots + \omega((y^m)', x_{i+1/2}; h)] + (1 + mKh)^2\psi_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we repeat this inequality recursively on  $i$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{i+1} &\leq (1 + mKh)^i \sum_{j=0}^i h[\omega((y^1)', x_{j+1/2}; h) + \dots + \omega((y^m)', x_{j+1/2}; h)] \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{mAK}{n}\right)^n \sum_{j=0}^i \left[ \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \omega((y^1)', x_{j+1/2}; h) dx + \dots + \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \omega((y^m)', x_{j+1/2}; h) dx \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq e^{mAK} \left[ \int_0^A \omega((y^1)', x; 2h) dx + \dots + \int_0^A \omega((y^m)', x; 2h) dx \right]$$

$$\leq 2e^{mAK} \{ \tau((y^1)'; h) + \dots + \tau((y^m)'; h) \}.$$

From the last estimations it follows that

$$\psi_{i+1} \leq 2e^{mAK} \sum_{r=1}^m \tau((y^r)'; h) L_r.$$

So we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** The following estimation is true

$$\max \{ |u_r^i - \tilde{u}_r^i| : 1 \leq r \leq m, 0 \leq i \leq n \} \leq 2e^{mAK} \sum_{r=1}^m [\tau((y^r)'; h) L_r].$$

To estimate the error for those Runge-Kutta's methods which have local error  $O(h^3)$  in multivariate case we restrict ourselves to two dependent variables as follows

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} y' &= f(x, y, z), & y(0) &= y_0, \\ z' &= g(x, y, z), & z(0) &= z_0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the formulae

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{y}_{i+1} &= \tilde{y}_i + phf(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i) + qhf(x_i + \alpha h, \tilde{y}_i + \beta hf(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i), \tilde{z}_i + \beta hg(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i)), \\ \tilde{z}_{i+1} &= \tilde{z}_i + phg(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i) + qhg(x_i + \alpha h, \tilde{y}_i + \beta hf(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i), \tilde{z}_i + \beta hg(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i)), \end{aligned}$$

where the constants  $p, q, \alpha, \beta$  satisfy the system

$$(3) \quad p + q = 1, \quad q\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q\beta = \frac{1}{2},$$

it follows that this system has one-parameter solution of the form

$$p = 1 - s, \quad q = s, \quad \alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2s}.$$

For the sake of simplicity we shall put  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  (the general case can be considered in a similar way), i.e.  $p = q = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha = \beta = 1$ .

Conclusions (3.2) ensure the stability of the system (2.1) and the stability of the problem (2.1). For simplicity the problem (2.1), (2.2) can be then from (1) and (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad |u_{i+1} - \tilde{u}_{i+1}| &= h \left| u_{i+1} - \tilde{u}_{i+1} - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i) - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, \tilde{y}_i + hf(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i), \tilde{z}_i + hg(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i)) \right| \\ &= \left| u_{i+1} - \tilde{u}_i - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i) - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, \tilde{y}_i + hf(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i), \tilde{z}_i + hg(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i)) \right| \\ &\leq \left| u_{i+1} - \tilde{u}_i - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i) + \frac{h}{2} f(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i) - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, \tilde{y}_i + hf(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i), \tilde{z}_i + hg(x_i, \tilde{y}_i, \tilde{z}_i)) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, y_i + hf(x_i, y_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, y_i, z_i)) - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, y_i + hf(x_i, y_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, y_i, z_i)) \Big|$$

By applying Lipschitz condition we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |w_{i+1} - \tilde{w}_{i+1}| &\leq \frac{Kh}{2} \{|w_i - \tilde{w}_i| + |z_i - \tilde{z}_i|\} \\ &+ \frac{Kh}{2} \left\{ \left| \tilde{w}_i + hf(x_i, \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{z}_i) - w_i - hf(x_i, w_i, z_i) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \tilde{z}_i + hg(x_i, \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{z}_i) - z_i - hg(x_i, w_i, z_i) \right| \right\} \\ &+ \left| w_{i+1} - \tilde{w}_i + w_i - w_i - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i, w_i, z_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, w_i + hf(x_i, w_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, w_i, z_i)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{Kh}{2} \{|w_i - \tilde{w}_i| + |z_i - \tilde{z}_i|\} + \frac{Kh}{2} \left\{ \left| \tilde{w}_i + hf(x_i, \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{z}_i) - w_i - hf(x_i, w_i, z_i) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \tilde{z}_i + hg(x_i, \tilde{w}_i, \tilde{z}_i) - z_i - hg(x_i, w_i, z_i) \right| \right\} \\ &\quad + \left| w_{i+1} - \tilde{w}_i + w_i - w_i - \frac{h}{2} w_i' - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, w_i + hf(x_i, w_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, w_i, z_i)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{Kh}{2} \{|w_i - \tilde{w}_i| + |z_i - \tilde{z}_i|\} + \frac{Kh}{2} \{|w_i - \tilde{w}_i| + |z_i - \tilde{z}_i|\} \\ &\quad + \frac{K^2 h^2}{2} \{|w_i - \tilde{w}_i| + |z_i - \tilde{z}_i|\} + |w_i - \tilde{w}_i| \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.12) \quad + \left| w_{i+1} - w_i - \frac{h}{2} w_i' - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, w_i + hf(x_i, w_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, w_i, z_i)) \right|$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad \leq c_1 \{|w_i - \tilde{w}_i| + |z_i - \tilde{z}_i|\} + |w_i - \tilde{w}_i| + c_2$$

$$\leq (1 + c_1) |w_i - \tilde{w}_i| + c_1 |z_i - \tilde{z}_i| + c_2$$

where  $c_1 = \frac{2Kh + K^2 h^2}{2}$  and

$$c_2 = \left| w_{i+1} - w_i - \frac{h}{2} w_i' - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, w_i + hf(x_i, w_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, w_i, z_i)) \right|$$

Let us estimate the system (2.13) by some method,

(a) to derive in parallel the solution of the problem by formulae (2.5),

$$c_2 = \left| w_{i+1} - w_i - \frac{h}{2} w_i' - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, w_i + hf(x_i, w_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, w_i, z_i)) \right|$$

(5)  $\left| w_{i+1} - w_i - \frac{h}{2} w_i' - \frac{h}{2} f(x_i + h, w_i + hf(x_i, w_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, w_i, z_i)) \right|$

again  $\left| w_{i+1} - w_i - \frac{h}{2} w_i' - \frac{h}{2} w_i' - \frac{h}{2} w_i' - \frac{h}{2} w_i' \right|$

$$+ \frac{h}{2} |f(x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}, z_{i+1}) - f(x_{i+1}, y_i + hf(x_i, y_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, y_i, z_i))|,$$

where  $x_{i+1} = x_i + h$ ,  $y_{i+1} = y(x_{i+1})$ ,  $y'_{i+1/2} = y'(x_{i+1/2})$ . Now estimating the first term in the right hand side of inequality (5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |y_{i+1} - y_i - hy'_{i+1/2}| &= h \left| \frac{y_{i+1} - y_i}{h} - y'_{i+1/2} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} [y'(t) - y'_{i+1/2}] dt \right| = h \left| \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} [y'(x_{i+1/2} + th) - y'_{i+1/2}] dt \right| \\ &= h \left| \int_0^{1/2} [y'(x_{i+1/2} + th) - 2y'_{i+1/2} + y'(x_{i+1/2} - th)] dt \right| \\ (6) \quad &\leq h \int_0^{1/2} \omega_2 \left( y', x_{i+1/2}; \frac{h}{2} \right) dt = \frac{h}{2} \omega_2 \left( y', x_{i+1/2}; \frac{h}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the following term  $\left| y'_{i+1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(y'_i + y'_{i+1}) \right|$ , let  $p$  be the algebraic polynomial of first degree, which interpolates the function  $y'$  at the points  $x_i$  and  $x_{i+1}$ . We have (see [4], lemma 2.3, p. 30)

$$(7) \quad \|y' - p\|_{C[x_i, x_{i+1}]} \leq \omega_2(y', x_{i+1/2}; h/2),$$

where  $p(x_i) = p_i$ ,  $p(x_{i+1}) = p_{i+1}$ .

From (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| y'_{i+1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(y'_i + y'_{i+1}) \right| \\ &\leq \left| y'_{i+1/2} - p_{i+1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(y'_i - p_i) - \frac{1}{2}(y'_{i+1} - p_{i+1/2}) \right| + \left| p_{i+1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(p_i + p_{i+1/2}) \right| \\ &\leq \left| y'_{i+1/2} - p_{i+1/2} \right| + \frac{1}{2} |y'_i - p_i| + \frac{1}{2} |y'_{i+1} - p_{i+1}| \leq 2\omega_2(y', x_{i+1/2}; h/2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p_{i+1/2} - \frac{1}{2}p_i - \frac{1}{2}p_{i+1} = 0$ ,  $p$  being of first degree, we obtain

$$\left| y'_{i+1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(y'_i + y'_{i+1}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \omega_2(y', x_{i+1/2}; h/2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \omega_2(y', x_{i+1/2}; h).$$

Similarly we get

$$\left| z'_{i+1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(z'_i + z'_{i+1}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \omega_2(z', x_{i+1/2}; h/2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \omega_2(z', x_{i+1/2}; h/2).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |f(x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}, z_{i+1}) - f(x_{i+1}, y_i + hf(x_i, y_i, z_i), z_i + hg(x_i, y_i, z_i))| \\
 & \leq K \{ |y_{i+1} - y_i - hy'_i| + |z_{i+1} - z_i - hz'_i| \} \\
 & \leq \dots \leq Kh \left( \left| \frac{y_{i+1} - y_i}{h} - y'_i \right| + \left| \frac{z_{i+1} - z_i}{h} - z'_i \right| \right) \\
 & \leq Kh \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} [y'(t) - y'_i] dt \right| + \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} [z'(t) - z'_i] dt \right| \right\} \\
 (8) \quad & \leq Kh \{ \omega(y', x_{i+1/2}; h) + \omega(z', x_{i+1/2}; h) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4), (6), (5) and (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |y_{i+1} - \tilde{y}_{i+1}| + |z_{i+1} - \tilde{z}_{i+1}| & \leq \left[ 1 + Kh \left( 1 + \frac{Kh}{2} \right) \right] |y_i - \tilde{y}_i| + \frac{h}{2} \omega_2 \left( y', x_{i+1/2}; \frac{h}{2} \right) \\
 & + \frac{Kh^2}{2} \omega(y', x_{i+1/2}; h) + 2h\omega_2(y', x_{i+1/2}; h) + \left[ 1 + Kh \left( 1 + \frac{Kh}{2} \right) \right] |z_i - \tilde{z}_i| \\
 & + \frac{h}{2} \omega_2 \left( z', x_{i+1/2}; \frac{h}{2} \right) + \frac{Kh^2}{2} \omega(z', x_{i+1/2}; h) + 2h\omega_2(z', x_{i+1/2}; h).
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the above inequality recursively on  $i$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |y_{i+1} - \tilde{y}_{i+1}| + |z_{i+1} - \tilde{z}_{i+1}| \\
 (9) \quad & \leq \sum_{k=0}^i \left[ 1 + Kh \left( 1 + \frac{Kh}{2} \right) \right]^{i-k} \left[ \frac{h}{2} \omega_2 \left( y', x_{k+1/2}; \frac{h}{2} \right) + \frac{Kh^2}{2} \omega(y', x_{k+1/2}; h) \right. \\
 & \left. + 2h\omega_2(y', x_{k+1/2}; h) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^i \left[ 1 + Kh \left( 1 + \frac{Kh}{2} \right) \right]^{i+k} \left[ \frac{h}{2} \omega_2 \left( z', x_{k+1/2}; \frac{h}{2} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{Kh^2}{2} \omega(z', x_{k+1/2}; h) + 2h\omega_2(z', x_{k+1/2}; h) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Set  $1 + \frac{KA}{2} = c_3$ , then from (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max \{ |y_i - \tilde{y}_i| + |z_i - \tilde{z}_i| : 0 \leq i \leq n \} \\
 & \leq \left( 1 + \frac{c_3 AK}{n} \right)^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{h}{2} \omega_2 \left( y', x_{k+1/2}; \frac{h}{2} \right) + \frac{Kh^2}{2} \omega(y', x_{k+1/2}; h) \right. \\
 & \left. + 2h\omega_2(y', x_{k+1/2}; h) \right] + \left( 1 + \frac{c_3 AK}{n} \right)^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{h}{2} \omega_2 \left( z', x_{k+1/2}; \frac{h}{2} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{Kh^2}{2} \omega(z', x_{k+1/2}; h) + 2h\omega_2(z', x_{k+1/2}; h) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq e^{c_3 AK} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \omega_2(y', x; h) dx + \frac{Kh}{2} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \omega(y', x; h) dx + 2 \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \omega_2(y', x; h) dx \right]$$

$$+ e^{c_3 AK} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \omega_2(z', x; h) dx + \frac{Kh}{2} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \omega(z', x; h) dx + 2 \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \omega_2(z', x; h) dx \right]$$

$$= e^{c_3 AK} \int_0^A \left[ \frac{1}{2} \omega_2(y', x; h) + \frac{Kh}{2} \omega(y', x; h) + 2\omega_2(y', x; h) \right] dx$$

$$+ e^{c_3 AK} \int_0^A \left[ \frac{1}{2} \omega_2(z', x; h) + \frac{Kh}{2} \omega(z', x; h) + 2\omega_2(z', x; h) \right] dx$$

$$= e^{c_3 AK} A \left[ \frac{1}{2} \tau_2(y'; h)_{L_1} + \frac{Kh}{2} \tau(y'; h)_{L_1} + 2\tau_2(y'; h)_{L_1} \right]$$

$$+ e^{c_3 AK} A \left[ \frac{1}{2} \tau_2(z'; h)_{L_1} + \frac{Kh}{2} \tau(z'; h)_{L_1} + 2\tau_2(z'; h)_{L_1} \right]$$

$$= e^{c_3 AK} A \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\tau_2(y'; h)_{L_1} + \tau_2(z'; h)_{L_1}) + \frac{Kh}{2} (\tau(y'; h)_{L_1} + \tau(z'; h)_{L_1}) \right]$$

We again apply the same parallel scheme to the second system (2.12), but this time with  $\tau + 2(\tau_2(y'; h)_{L_1} + \tau_2(z'; h)_{L_1})$ . Thus, the obtained

Therefore we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** For the solution of the problem (1) the estimation of the error of coefficients (1.1) must be minimized.

The error  $\max\{|\tilde{y}_i - \tilde{y}_i| + |\tilde{z}_i - \tilde{z}_i| : 0 \leq i \leq n\}$  and also we have to estimate the full error  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [c(\tau_2(y'; h)_{L_1} + \tau_2(z'; h)_{L_1}) + h(\tau(y'; h) + \tau(z'; h))]$  of the systems (2.7) and (2.8). So we estimate the data error of (2.2), namely that the holds, where  $c$  is a constant depending on  $A$  and  $K$  only.

Throughout this section the superscripts in brackets denote the stage of the algorithm and  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $\|A\|$  are a maximum of the norm of  $\varphi$  and the matrix of the system (2.6) at different stage respectively. Next, let us examine the system of the kind (2.7). For it  $\|\varphi\| = 0$  and then

#### REFERENCES

1. Andreev, A. S. Some estimations of the approximate solution of differential equations. Approximation and function Spaces, 7, Ciesielski (ed.), PWN — Warszawa — North Holland pub. company, Amsterdam — New York — Oxford, 1981, 16-24.
2. Andreev, A. S. Convergence rate for spline collocation to Fredholm integral equation of the second kind. *Pliska, Studia math. Bulg.*, 8, 1983, 84-92.
3. Andreev, A. S., D. Gichev. Error estimates for the numerical solution of the Cauchy problem. *Soviet, Bulg. math. pub.*, 10, 1984, 206-215.
4. Sendov, B., V. A. Popov. The averaged moduli of smoothness. A. Wiley-Interscience publ., 1988, 117-123.

Received 31.05.1990

and  $A$  is the matrix of corresponding system. Since the rounding error of this system is evaluated by (3.11), then

$$(3.20) \quad \|\Delta \varphi^{(k)}\|^{(2)} \leq \|\tilde{\varphi}^{(k)}\|^{(2)} + \|h \varphi^{(k)}\|^{(2)} \leq \|\tilde{\varphi}^{(k)}\|^{(2)} + \|h\| \|A\| \|\varphi\|^{(k)} + \dots$$