# ГОДИШНИК НА СОФИЙСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ "СВ. КЛИМЕНТ ОХРИДСКИ" ФАКУЛТЕТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА И ИНФОРМАТИКА Том 96

ANNUAIRE DE L'UNIVERSITE DE SOFIA "ST. KLIMENT OHRIDSKI"
FACULTE DE MATHEMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE
Tome 96

# INSTABILITY OF SOLITARY WAVE SOLUTIONS OF A CLASS OF NONLINEAR DISPERSIVE SYSTEMS<sup>1</sup>

#### SEVDZHAN HAKKAEV

In this paper the orbital stability and instability properties of solitary wave solutions of a class of nonlinear dispersive systems are studied. By applying the abstract results of Grillakis et al. ([11]), we obtain the stability of the solitary waves.

Keywords: dispersive system, solitary waves, stability

2000 MSC: 35B35, 35B40, 35Q35

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper we consider the stability and instability of solitary wave solutions  $(\varphi(x-ct), \psi(x-ct))$  for the following system of nonlinear evolution equations:

$$\begin{cases}
Mu_t + u_x + (u^p v^{p+1})_x = 0 \\
Mv_t + v_x + (u^{p+1} v^p)_x = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where u(x,t) and v(x,t) are real-valued functions and M is a pseudodifferential operator of order  $\mu > 1$  (see (2.1)) and p > 0. This system can also be interpreted as a coupled version of the generalized Benjamin-Bona-Mahony (BBM) equation

$$Mu_t + (a(u))_x = 0.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Partially supported by MESC under Grant MM-810/98 and by Shumen University under Scientific Research Grant 14/19, 03, 2003.

Among the papers devoted to the stability of the BBM equation are [13], [14] and [16]. When  $a(u) = u^p$  and  $M = 1 - \partial_x^2$ , it is obtained in [14] that solitary waves are stable for all p. In [16] this result is extended for a more general class of pseudodifferential operators.

Here, using the same lines of ideas as in [12] and [16], we show that if  $p \leq \mu$ , then solitary waves are always stable, while if  $p > \mu$ , there is a critical speed  $c_0$  such that we have instability for  $c < c_0$  and stability for  $c > c_0$ .

System (1.1) has four natural invariants  $E(u,v) = -\frac{2}{p+1} \int u^{p+1} v^{p+1} dx$ ,  $V(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \int [u^2 + v^2 + uMu + vMv] dx$ ,  $I_1(u,v) = \int u dx$ ,  $I_2(u,v) = \int v dx$ . Our analysis is based on the invariants E and V, following the proofs of [16], [11] and [9].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the existence and the asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1.1). In Section 3 we state our main assumptions and prove the stability and instability results.

# Notations:

• The norm in  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$  will be denoted by  $||\cdot||_s$ , and  $||\cdot||$  will denote the norm in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

• We denote  $X^s = H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $||\mathbf{f}||_{X^s} = ||f||_s^2 + ||g||_s^2$  for  $\mathbf{f} = (f, g)$ .

$$\circ \widehat{\Lambda^{\mu}g}(\xi) = |\xi|^{\mu} \widehat{g}(\xi), \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix}.$$

# 2. THE EVOLUTION EQUATION

We begin with a discussion of the existence and uniqueness theory of the initial value problem associated with (1.1). The operator M has the form

$$\widehat{Mu}(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^{\mu})\widehat{u}(\xi). \tag{2.1}$$

We state the basic theorem which guarantees the existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1) in  $H^{\frac{\mu}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in X^{\nu}$ , then there exists a unique global solution  $\mathbf{u}$  of (1.1) in  $C([0,\infty);X^{\nu})$  with  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$ . Moreover, the functionals  $E, V, I_1$  and  $I_2$  are constant with respect to t.

*Proof.* In order to obtain the existence of weak solutions, we consider the problem

$$\mathbf{u}_t + A\mathbf{u} + G(\mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} M^{-1}\partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & M^{-1}\partial_x \end{array} \right) \text{ and } G(\mathbf{u}) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} M^{-1}\partial_x(u^pv^{p+1}) & 0 \\ 0 & M^{-1}\partial_x(u^{p+1}v^p) \end{array} \right).$$

Equation (2.2) can be written as an integral equation

$$\mathbf{u} = U(t)\mathbf{u}_0 + \int_0^t U(t-\tau)G(\mathbf{u}(\tau))d\tau,$$

where U(t) is a  $C_0$  group of unitary operators in  $X^{\nu}$  generated by a skew adjoint operator A with  $D(A) = X^{\nu}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in D(A)$ . We solve the integral equation by the semigroup theory. Since  $X^{\nu} \subset L^{\infty} \times L^{\infty}$ , it is easy to show that  $\mathbf{u} \to G(\mathbf{u})$  carries  $Y \to Y$  in locally Lipschitzian manner, where Y denotes a Hilbert product space of D(A) with the graph norm given by  $||\mathbf{u}||_Y = ||\mathbf{u}||_{X^{\nu}} + ||A\mathbf{u}||_{X^{\nu}}$ . By [15], Theorem 6.1.4, for any  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in X^{\nu}$  there is some  $T \in (0, \infty)$  so that a unique solution  $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$  with initial data  $\mathbf{u}_0$  exists for  $0 < t \le T$ .

Multiplying (1.1) by (u, v) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}||\mathbf{u}(t)||_{X^{\nu}} = 0.$$

This implies that **u** is bounded in  $X^{\nu}$  and proves the global existence of a weak solution **u** for (1.1).

The fact that E and V are constants follows from the local existence. Finally, if  $I_1(u_0, v_0)$  and  $I_2(u_0, v_0)$  exist, then  $I_1(u(t), v(t))$  and  $I_2(u(t), v(t))$  do exist and  $I_1(u_0, v_0) = I_1(u(t), v(t))$  and  $I_2(u_0, v_0) = I_2(u(t), v(t))$ . This follows by integrating each equation of (1.1) over (a, b) and letting  $a \to -\infty$ ,  $b \to \infty$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$ 

Consider the linear initial value problem associated to Eq. (1.1)

$$\begin{cases}
Mu_t + u_x = 0 \\
Mv_t + v_x = 0 \\
(u(0), v(0)) = (u_0, v_0) \in X^{\nu}
\end{cases}$$
(2.3)

and the related unitary group V(t) which is defined by

$$V(t)f(x) = S_t \star f(x),$$

where  $S_t$  is defined by the oscillatory integral

$$S_t(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it(\frac{\xi}{1+|\xi|^{\mu}} - x\xi)} d\xi.$$

Therefore the solution of Eq. (2.3) is given by the unitary group W(t) in  $X^{\nu}$  defined for  $\mathbf{u}_0 = (u_0, v_0)$  by

$$W(t)\mathbf{u}_0 = (V(t)u_0(x), V(t)v_0(x)).$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{u} \in X^{\nu} \cap (L^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  and let  $\mathbf{u}(x,t)$  be the solution of (1.1) with initial data  $\mathbf{u}_0$ . Then there exists  $0 < \eta < 1$  such that

$$\sup_{-\infty \le z \le \infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{z} \mathbf{u}(x, t) dx \right| \le c(1 + t^{\eta}), \tag{2.4}$$

where the constant c depends only on  $\mathbf{u}_0$ .

To prove Theorem 2.2, we need the following lemma, which is proved in [16].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let S(t) be the evolution operator to the linear equation

$$((1 + \Lambda^{\mu})\partial_t + \partial_x)w = 0 \quad (S(t)w(0) = w(t)).$$

Then  $S(t): H^{\nu} \cap L^{1} \to L^{\infty}$  for all t > 0. Moreover, there exist  $\theta \in (0,1)$  and c > 0 such that

$$|S(t)w_0|_{\infty} \le ct^{-\theta}(|w_0|_1 + ||w_0||_{\nu}), \quad \theta = \frac{\mu - 1}{2\mu}.$$

From Lemma 2.1 and Young's inequality for convolution we have

$$|W(t)|_{L^{\infty} \times L^{\infty}} \le ct^{-\theta} (|\mathbf{u}_0|_{L^{t} \times L^{1}} + ||\mathbf{u}_0||_{X^{\nu}}). \tag{2.5}$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{z}(t) = W(t)\mathbf{u}_0$ , that is

$$L\partial_t \mathbf{z} + \partial_x \mathbf{z} = 0, \ \mathbf{z}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{z}(t) - \int_0^t W(t - \tau) L^{-1} \partial_x F(\mathbf{u}) d\tau$$
$$= \mathbf{z}(t) - \partial_x \int_0^t W(t - \tau) L^{-1} F(\mathbf{u}) d\tau,$$

where  $F(\mathbf{u}) = (u^p v^{p+1}, u^{p+1} v^p)$ .

Let  $U(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{u}(y,t) dy$  and  $Z(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{z}(y,t) dy$ . Then

$$U(t) = Z(t) - \int_0^t W(t - \tau) L^{-1} F(\mathbf{u}) d\tau.$$
 (2.6)

We estimate the two terms on the right-hand side of (2.6) separately. First, we obtain from the equation for  $\mathbf{z}(x,t)$ ,

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = \mathbf{u}_0 - \partial_x \int_0^t L^{-1} \mathbf{z}(\tau) d\tau,$$

so that

$$Z(T) = U_0 - \int_0^t W(\tau) L^{-1} \mathbf{u}_0 d\tau$$

with  $U_0(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \mathbf{u}_0(y) dy$ . Using (2.5), we have

$$|Z(x,t)| \leq |\mathbf{u}_0|_{L^1 \times L^1} + c \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\theta} d\tau (|L^{-1}\mathbf{u}_0|_{L^1 \times L^1} + ||L^{-1}\mathbf{u}_0||_{X^{\nu}})$$

$$\leq c(1+t)^{\eta}(|L^{-1}\mathbf{u}_0|_{L^1\times L^1}+||L^{-1}\mathbf{u}_0||_{X^{\nu}}),$$

where  $\eta = 1 - \theta$ . Noticing that  $||L^{-1}\mathbf{u}_0||_{X^{\nu}} \le c||\mathbf{u}_0||_{X^{\nu}}$ , then

$$|Z(x,t)| \le c(1+t)^{\eta} (|\mathbf{u}_0|_{l^1 \times L^1} + ||\mathbf{u}_0||_{X^{\nu}}).$$

Let P(x,t) denote the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.6). Then by (2.5)

$$\begin{split} |P(x,t)| & \leq \left| \int_0^t W(t-\tau) L^{-1} F(\mathbf{u}) d\tau \right| \\ & \leq c \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\theta} d\tau (|L^{-1} F(\mathbf{u})|_{L^1 \times L^1} + ||L^{-1} F(\mathbf{u})||_{X^{\nu}}). \end{split}$$

Since  $X^{\nu} \subset L^{\infty} \times L^{\infty}$   $(\nu > \frac{1}{2})$ , then  $|L^{-1}F(\mathbf{u})|_{L^{1}\times L^{1}}$  is bounded uniformly in  $\tau$  by a constant which depends only on  $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ . Next observe that  $||L^{-1}F(\mathbf{u})||_{X^{\nu}} \leq (|u|_{\infty}^{p} + |v|_{\infty}^{p})||\mathbf{u}||_{X^{\nu}}$ . Thus

$$|P(x,t)| \le c(1+t)^{\eta}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$ 

## 3. THE SOLITARY WAVE

We consider a smooth solution of (1.1) of the form  $(u(x,t),v(x,t))=(\varphi(x-ct),\psi(x-ct))=\Phi(x-ct)$  that vanishes at infinity. Substituting  $\Phi$  in (1.1) and assuming that  $\varphi,\psi,\varphi',\psi',\varphi'',\psi''\to 0$  as  $|\zeta|\to\infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{cases}
-cM\varphi + \varphi + \varphi^p \psi^{p+1} = 0 \\
-cM\psi + \psi + \varphi^{p+1} \psi^p = 0.
\end{cases}$$
(3.1)

From (3.1) we see that if E' and V' represent the Frechet derivatives of E, V, then

$$E'(\varphi_c, \psi_c) + cV'(\varphi_c, \psi_c) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, if  $H_c$  is the linearized operator of E' + cV' around  $\Phi_c$ , namely

$$H_c = E''(\Phi_c) + cV''(\Phi_c) \tag{3.3}$$

$$=\left(\begin{array}{cc} c\Lambda^{\mu}+(c-1)-p\varphi^{p-1}\psi^{p+1} & -(p+1)\varphi^p\psi^p \\ -(p+1)\varphi^p\psi^p & c\Lambda^{\mu}+(c-1)-p\varphi^{p+1}\psi^{p-1} \end{array}\right),$$

then  $H_c(\partial_x \varphi_c, \partial_x \psi_c) = 0$ .

We now establish our main assumptions on  $\Phi_c$  and  $H_c$  under which we solve the problem of stability and instability. They are as follows.

Assumption 1. There is an interval  $(c_1, c_2) \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $c \in (c_1, c_2)$  there exists a solution  $\Phi_c = (\varphi_c, \psi_c), \ \varphi > 0, \ \psi > 0$  of (3.2) in  $X^{\nu+3}$ . The curve  $c \to \Phi_c$  is  $C^1$  with values in  $X^{\nu+1}$ . Moreover,  $(1+|\xi|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\Phi_c}{dc} \in L^1 \times L^1$ .

Assumption 2. The zero eigenvalue of the operator  $H_c$  is simple.  $H_c$  has a unique negative simple eigenvalue with an eigenfunction  $\chi_c$ . Besides the negative and the zero eigenvalues, the rest of the spectrum of  $H_c$  is positive and bounded away from zero. Moreover, the mapping  $c \to \chi_c$  is continuous with values in  $X^{\nu+1}$  and  $(1+|\xi|)^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_c \in L^1 \times L^1$ ,  $\chi_1 > 0$ ,  $\chi_2 > 0$ .

Denote

$$d(c) = E(\Phi_c) + cV(\Phi_c).$$

After a differentiation with respect to c, we have

$$d'(c) = \langle E'(\Phi_c) + cV'(\Phi_c), \frac{d\Phi_c}{dc} \rangle + V(\Phi_c) = V(\Phi_c), \tag{3.4}$$

$$d''(c) = \langle V'(\Phi_c), \frac{d\Phi_c}{dc} \rangle. \tag{3.5}$$

Next we examine the relation between the convexity properties of the function d(c) and the properties of the functional E near the critical point  $\Phi_c$  under the constraint V = const.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let c > 0 be fixed. If d''(c) < 0, then there is a curve  $w \to \Psi_w$  which satisfies  $V(\Phi_c) = V(\Psi_w)$ .  $\Phi_c = \Psi_c$ , and on which  $E(\mathbf{u})$  has a strict local maximum at  $\mathbf{u} = \Phi_c$ .

*Proof.* Following the ideas of Souganidis and Strauss [16], we note that for  $G(w,s)=V(\Phi_w+s\chi_c),\ G(c,0)=V(\Phi_c)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}V(\Phi_w+s\chi_c)(c,0)=\langle V'(\Phi_c),\chi_c\rangle=(L\Phi_c,\chi_c)\neq 0$ . Therefore, it follows from the implicit function theorem that there is a  $C^1$  function s(w) for w near c such that s(c)=0 and  $G(w,s(w))=V(\Phi_c)$ .

Next we define  $\Psi_w = \Phi_c + s(w)\chi_x$ . It is easy to be seen that  $\frac{d}{dw}E(\Psi_w)_{|_{w=c}} = 0$  and

$$\frac{d^2}{dw^2}E(\Psi_w)_{|_{w=c}} = \langle H_c \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle,$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = \frac{d\Psi_w}{dw}\Big|_{w=c} = \frac{d}{dc}\Phi_c + s'(c)\chi_c$ . So it suffices to show that  $\langle H_c\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle < 0$ . We have

$$0 = \frac{d}{dw} V(\Psi_w)|_{w=c} = \langle V'(\Phi_c), \frac{d}{dw}|_{w=c} \rangle$$

$$= (L\Phi_c, \mathbf{y}) = (L\Phi_c, \frac{d}{dc}\Phi_c) + s'(c)(L\Phi_c, \chi_c).$$

From (3.5),  $d''(c) = -s'(c)(L\Phi_c, \chi_c)$ , therefore

$$(H_c \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) = s'(c)(H_c \chi_c, \mathbf{y}) - (L\Phi_c, \mathbf{y}) = d''(c) + s'^2(c)(H_c \chi_c, \chi_c) < 0.$$

This proves the theorem.  $\square$ 

We continue our study by specifying the precise form in which stability and instability are to be interpreted. Denoting by  $\tau_s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the translation operator  $\tau_s f(x) = f(x+s)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define  $T(s)\mathbf{f} = (\tau_s f, \tau_s g)$  for  $\mathbf{f} = (f,g)$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  consider the tubular neighborhood

$$U_{\varepsilon} = \{ \mathbf{g} \in X^{\nu} \mid \inf_{s \in \mathbb{R}} ||\mathbf{g} - T(s)\Phi_{c}||_{X^{\nu}} < \varepsilon \}.$$

**Definition 3.1.** The solitary wave  $\Phi_c$  is  $X^{\nu}$  stable if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in U_{\delta}$ , then (1.1) has a unique solution  $\mathbf{u}(t) \in C([0, \infty); X^{\nu})$  with  $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$  and  $\mathbf{u}(t) \in U_{\varepsilon}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Otherwise,  $\Phi_c$  is called unstable.

The stability assertion (when d''(c) > 0) is a special case of [11], so that we omit the proof. For the instability, we need a series of preliminary results which can be proved as in the analogous cases of [9]. For this reason we only state them without proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** There are an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a unique  $C^1$  map  $\alpha : U_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that for  $\mathbf{u} \in U_{\varepsilon}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ :

- (i)  $\langle \mathbf{u}(\cdot + \alpha(\mathbf{u})), \partial_x \Phi_c \rangle = 0, \qquad \alpha(\Phi_c) = 0;$
- (ii)  $\alpha(\mathbf{u}(\cdot + r)) = \alpha(\mathbf{u}) r;$

(iii) 
$$\alpha'(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{\partial_x \Phi_c(\cdot - \alpha(\mathbf{u}))}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \partial_x^2 \Phi_c(\cdot - \alpha(\mathbf{u})) \rangle}.$$

Next we define an auxiliary operator B which will play a crucial role in the proof of instability. If y is as in Theorem 3.3, then  $(H_c \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) < 0$  and  $(\mathbf{y}, L\Phi_c) = 0$ .

**Definition 3.2.** For  $\mathbf{u} \in U_{\varepsilon}$ , define  $B(\mathbf{u})$  by the formula

$$B(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{y}(\cdot - \alpha(\mathbf{u})) - \frac{(L\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}(\cdot - \alpha(\mathbf{u})))}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \partial_x^2 \Phi_c(\cdot - \alpha(\mathbf{u})) \rangle} L^{-1} \partial_x^2 \Phi_c(\cdot - \alpha(\mathbf{u})).$$

**Lemma 3.3.** B is a  $C^1$  function from  $U_{\varepsilon}$  into  $X^{\nu}$ . Moreover, B commutes with translations,  $B(\Phi_c) = \mathbf{y}$  and  $\langle B(\mathbf{u}), L\mathbf{u} \rangle = 0$  for every  $\mathbf{u} \in U_{\varepsilon}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** There is a  $C^1$  functional  $\Upsilon: D_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D_{\varepsilon} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in U_{\varepsilon} : V(\mathbf{v}) = V(\Phi_{\varepsilon}) \}$ , such that if  $\mathbf{v} \in D_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  is not a translate of  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ , then

$$E(\Phi_c) < E(\mathbf{v}) + \Upsilon(\mathbf{v}) \langle E'(\mathbf{v}), B(\mathbf{u}) \rangle.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** The curve  $w \to \Psi_w$ , constructed in Theorem 3.3, satisfies  $E(\Psi_w) < E(\Phi_c)$  for  $w \neq c$ ,  $V(\Psi_w) = V(\Phi_c)$  and  $\langle E'(\Psi_w), B(\Psi_w) \rangle$  changes its sign as w passes through c.

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that Assumptions 1 and 2 hold and  $d^{''}(c) < 0$ . Then the solitary wave  $\Phi_c$  is unstable.

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough such that Lemma 3.2 and its consequences apply with  $U_{\varepsilon}$ . To prove instability of  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ , it suffices to show that there are some elements  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in X^{\nu}$  which are arbitrary close to  $\Phi_{\varepsilon}$ , but for which the solution  $\mathbf{u}$  of Eq. (1.1) with initial data  $\mathbf{u}_0$  leaves  $U_{\varepsilon}$  in finite time.

By Lemma 3.5, we can find  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in X^{\nu}$  which is close to  $\Phi_c$  and satisfies  $V(\mathbf{u}_0) = V(\Phi_c)$ ,  $E(\mathbf{u}_0 < E(\Phi_c))$  and  $\langle E'(\mathbf{u}_0), B(\mathbf{u}_0) \rangle > 0$ . For a fixed  $\mathbf{u}_0$ , let  $[0, t_1)$  denote the maximal interval for which  $\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)$  lies continuously in  $U_{\varepsilon}$ . It suffices to show that  $t_1 < \infty$ .

In view of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 u has the following properties:

$$\mathbf{u} \in C([0, t_1); X^{\nu}), \quad \mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0,$$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{u}(z, t) dz \right| \le c_0 (1 + t^{\eta}), \quad t \in [0, t_1),$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, t_1)} ||\mathbf{u}(t)||_{X^{\nu}} \le c_1.$$

Let us take  $\beta(t) = \alpha(\mathbf{u}(t))$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} L\mathbf{y}(\rho)d\rho = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbf{y}(\rho)d\rho + N\mathbf{y}(x)$ , where  $N = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|\xi|^{\mu}}{i\xi} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{|\xi|^{\mu}}{i\xi} \end{pmatrix}$ , and define

$$A(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}(x - \beta(t))\mathbf{u}(x, t)dx. \tag{3.6}$$

Let H be the Heaviside function and  $\gamma = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{u}_0(x) dx$ . We note that by Assumptions 1 and 2,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|x|)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbf{y}(x)| dx < \infty$  and the function  $R(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{y}(\rho) d\rho - \gamma H(x)$  belongs to  $L^2 \times L^2$ . Therefore we obtain from Eq. (3.6) that

$$A(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(x - \beta(t))\mathbf{u}(x, t)dx + \gamma \int_{\beta(t)}^{\infty} \mathbf{u}(x, t)dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N\mathbf{y}(x - \beta(t))\mathbf{u}(x, t)dx.$$

Hence,

$$|A(t)| \le |R|_2 ||\mathbf{u}||_{X^{\nu}} + (c_0(1+t^{\eta}) + ||N\mathbf{u}||_{X^{\nu}}||||\mathbf{u}||_{X^{\nu}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Now

$$\begin{split} \frac{dA}{dt} &= -\langle \alpha'(\mathbf{u}), \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} \langle L\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{Y}(\cdot - \beta), \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} \rangle \\ &= \langle -\langle \mathbf{y}(\cdot - \beta), L\mathbf{u} \rangle \alpha'(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{Y}(\cdot - \beta), \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} \rangle \end{split}$$

$$= -\langle B(\mathbf{u}), E'(\mathbf{u}) \rangle.$$

As  $0 < E(\Phi_c) - E(\mathbf{u}_0) = E(\Phi_c) - E(\mathbf{u})$ , Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$0 < \Upsilon(\mathbf{u}) \langle E'(\mathbf{u}(t)), B(\mathbf{u}(t)) \rangle.$$

Moreover, since  $\mathbf{u}(t) \in U_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\Upsilon(\Phi_c) = 0$ , we may assume that  $\Upsilon(\mathbf{u}(t)) < 1$  by choosing  $\varepsilon$  even smaller if necessary.

Therefore for all  $t \in [0, t_1)$ ,  $\langle E'(\mathbf{u}(t)), B(\mathbf{u}(t)) \rangle > E(\Phi_c) - E(\mathbf{u}_0) > 0$ . Hence for  $0 < t < t_1$ 

$$-\frac{dA}{dt} \ge E(\Phi_c) - E(\mathbf{u}_0) > 0.$$

Comparing this with (3.7), we conclude that  $t_1 < \infty$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.6.** One has  $d(c) = \frac{\mu c}{2} [\langle \Lambda^{\mu} \varphi_c, \varphi_c \rangle + \langle \Lambda^{\mu} \psi_c, \psi_c \rangle].$ 

*Proof.* For  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $\Phi^{\lambda}(x) = \Phi(\frac{x}{\lambda})$ . Then

$$\begin{split} E(\Phi^{\lambda}) + cV(\Phi^{\lambda}) &= \int \left[ -F(\Phi^{\lambda}) + \frac{c}{2} \Phi^{\lambda} L \Phi^{\lambda} \right] dx \\ &= \int \left[ -F(\Phi^{\lambda}) + \frac{c}{2} (\Phi^{\lambda})^2 + \frac{c}{2} \Phi^{\lambda} \Lambda^{\mu} \Phi^{\lambda} \right] dx \\ &= \int \lambda \left[ -F(\Phi) + \frac{c}{2} \Phi^2 \right] dx + \lambda^{1-\mu} \frac{c}{2} \int \Phi \Lambda^{\mu} \Phi dx. \end{split}$$

Next we differentiate this expression with respect to  $\lambda$  and evaluate it at  $\lambda = 1$ , observing that the left-hand side becomes zero, because  $E'(\Phi^{\lambda}) + cV'(\Phi^{\lambda}) = 0$ . Thus

$$0 = \int \left[ -F(\Phi) + \frac{c}{2}\Phi^2 + (1 - \mu)\frac{c}{2}\Phi\Lambda^{\mu}\Phi \right] dx,$$

so that

$$d(c) = \frac{\mu c}{2} \int \Phi \Lambda^{\mu} \Phi dx.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold:

- a) if  $p \le \mu$ , then  $\Phi_c$  is stable for all c > 1;
- b) if  $p > \mu$ , there is a  $c_0 > 1$  such that  $\Phi_c$  is stable for  $c > c_0$  and unstable for  $1 < c < c_0$ .

*Proof.* Using the homogeneity of M, we can write the solution  $\Phi_c$  as

$$\varphi(x) = (c-1)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \varphi_1 \left( \left( \frac{c-1}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} x \right),\,$$

$$\psi(x) = (c-1)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \psi_1 \left( \left( \frac{c-1}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} x \right),$$

where  $(\varphi_1, \psi_1)$  is a solution of the system

$$\Lambda^{\mu}\varphi_1 + \varphi_1 - \varphi_1^p \psi_1^{p+1} = 0$$

$$\Lambda^{\mu}\psi_1 + \psi_1 - \varphi_1^{p+1}\psi_1^p = 0,$$

which is independent on c. Then

$$d(c) = \frac{\mu c}{2} \left[ \int \varphi \Lambda^{\mu} \varphi + \int \psi \Lambda^{\mu} \psi \right]$$
$$= \frac{\mu b}{2} (c - 1)^{\frac{1}{p} + 1 - \frac{1}{\mu}} c^{\frac{1}{\mu}},$$

where  $b = \int \varphi_1 \Lambda^{\mu} \varphi_1 + \int \psi_1 \Lambda^{\mu} \psi_1$ . Differentiating twice with respect to c yields

$$d''(c) = \frac{\mu b}{2} (c-1)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} - 1} c^{\frac{1}{\mu} - 2} q(c),$$

where  $q(c)=(r+s+1)(r+s+2)c^2-2(r+1)(r+s+1)c+r(r+1), \quad r=\frac{1}{\mu}-1, \quad s=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}$ . Whether d''(c) is positive or negative depends on the sign of q(c). This is a quadratic function of c with one negative and one positive root, since r(r+1)<0 and r+s+1>0. We call the positive root  $c_0$ . Since  $q(1)=(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu})(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\mu}+1)$ , then if  $p\leq \mu$ , d''(c)>0 for c>1, and if  $p>\mu$ , d''(c)<0 for  $1< c< c_0$  and d''(c)>0 for  $c>c_0$ . Theorem 3.3 is proved.  $\square$ 

**Acknowledgements.** The author wishes to express his gratitude to prof. Kiril Kirchev for the discussions, and to the referee of the paper for correcting its English version.

## REFERENCES

- Albert, J. Positivity property and stability of solitary-waves solutions of model equations for long waves. Comm. PDE, 17, 1992, 1-22.
- Albert, J., J. Bona. Total positivity and the stability of internal waves in stratified fluids in finite depth. IMA J. of Applied Mathematics, 46, 1991, 1-19.
- Albert, J., J. Bona, D. Henry. Sufficient conditions for stability of solitary wave solutions of model equations for long waves. Physica, 24D, 1987, 343-366.
- Alarcon, E., J. Angulo, J. Montenegro. Stability and instability of solitary waves for a nonlinear dispersive system. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 36, 1999, 1015-1035.
- Benjamin, T. The stability of solitary waves. Proc. Royal Soc. London, Ser. A, 328, 1972, 153-183.
- Benjamin, T., J. Bona, D. Bose. Solitary-wave solutions of nonlinear problems. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A, 1990.

- Benjamin, T., J. Bona, J. Mahony. Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive system. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A, 272, 1972, 447-478.
- Bona, J. On the stability theory of solitary waves. Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A, 347, 1975, 377-430.
- Bona, J., P. Souganidis, W. Strauss. Stability and instability of solitary waves of Korteweg-de Vries type. Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A, 411, 1987, 395-412.
- 10. Hakkaev, S. Stability and instability of solitary wave solutions of nonlinear dispersive system of BBM type (to appear).
- Grillakis, M., J. Shatah, W. Strauss. Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry I. J. of Functional Analysis, 94, 1990, 308-348.
- Iliev, I., E. Khristov, K. Kirchev. Spectral Methods in Soliton Equations. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 73, 1994.
- Iliev, I., K. Kirchev. Stability of traveling waves for nonlinear equations of Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation type. Commun. J. Inst. Nuclear Research, Dubna, P5-87-508, 1987 (in Russian).
- Iliev, I., K. Kirchev. The stability of traveling waves for nonlinear equations of Benjamin-Bona-Mahony type. Math. Nachr., 141, 1989, 313-324 (in Russian).
- Pazy, A. Semigroup of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. Springer, New York, 1983.
- Souganidis, P., W. Strauss. Instability of a class of dispersive solitary waves. Proc. Roy. Soc. of Edinburgh, 114A, 1990, 195-212.

Received on September 28, 2003

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics Shumen University 9712 Shumen BULGARIA

E-mail: shakkaev@fmi.shu-bg.net