# ГОДИЩНИК НА СОФИЙСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ "СВ. КЛИМЕНТ ОХРИДСКИ" ФАКУЛТЕТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА И ИНФОРМАТИКА Том 96

ANNUAIRE DE L'UNIVERSITE DE SOFIA "ST. KLIMENT OHRIDSKI"
FACULTE DE MATHEMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE
Tome 96

## (2,3)-GENERATION OF THE GROUPS $PSL_4(2^m)$

PETAR MANOLOV, KEROPE TCHAKERIAN

We prove that the group  $PSL_4(2^m)$ , m > 1, is (2,3)-generated.

Keywords: (2,3)-generated group

2000 MSC: main 20F05, secondary 20D06

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A group G is said to be (2,3)-generated if  $G = \langle x,y \rangle$  for some elements x and y of orders 2 and 3, respectively. So far, (2,3)-generation has been proved for a number of series of finite simple groups, for example  $A_n$ ,  $n \neq 6$ , 7, 8 (see [2]),  $PSL_2(q)$ ,  $q \neq 9$  [3],  $PSL_3(q)$ ,  $q \neq 4$  (see [1]), and  $PSL_4(q)$ , q odd [5]. In a note added in proof to [5], the authors mention that they have recently proved (2,3)-generation for  $PSL_4(q)$  also in the case of even q > 2. As we have not been able to find a proof in the literature and as our approach seems to be quite different from that of the authors of [5], here we give a short proof of this fact. Thus we prove the following

**Theorem**. The group  $PSL_4(2^m)$  is (2,3)-generated for any m > 1.

#### 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}_4(q) = \mathrm{PSL}_4(q)$ , where  $q = 2^m$ . It is well-known that the group  $\mathrm{PSL}_4(2) \cong \mathrm{A}_8$  is not (2,3)-generated, so we assume m > 1 in what follows.

The group G acts naturally on a four-dimensional vector space V over the field GF(q) with a fixed basis  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$ ,  $e_4$ . Let  $\omega$  be a generator of the group  $GF(q^3)^*$  and  $\alpha = \omega + \omega^q + \omega^{q^2}$ ,  $\beta = \omega^{1+q} + \omega^{q+q^2} + \omega^{q^2+1}$ ,  $\gamma = \omega^{1+q+q^2}$ . Then  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ .  $\gamma \in GF(q)$  and  $\gamma$  has order q-1 in the group  $GF(q)^*$ , in particular  $\gamma \neq 1$  as q > 2. The polynomial

$$f(t) = (t + \omega)(t + \omega^{q})(t + \omega^{q^{2}}) = t^{3} + \alpha t^{2} + \beta t + \gamma$$

is irreducible over GF(q).

Now, the matrices

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \gamma^{-1} & 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \\ 1 & \beta \gamma^{-1} & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & \gamma^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are elements of G of orders 2 and 3, respectively. Let

$$z = xy = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \beta & \alpha \gamma^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \gamma^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of z is  $(t + \gamma^{-1})f(t)$  and the characteristic roots  $\gamma^{-1}$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\omega^q$ ,  $\omega^{q^2}$  of z are pairwise distinct. Then, in  $GL_4(q^3)$ , z is conjugate to diag  $(\gamma^{-1}, \omega, \omega^q, \omega^q)$  and hence z is an element of G of order  $q^3 - 1$ .

Denote  $H = \langle x, y \rangle$ ,  $H \leq G$ .

### **Lemma 2.1**. The group H acts irreducibly on the space V.

Proof. Assume that W is a non-trivial H-invariant subspace of V. Let first dim W=1 and  $W=\langle w\rangle, \ w\neq 0$ . Then x(w)=w, which yields  $w=\mu e_1+\nu e_2+(\mu+\gamma^{-1}(\alpha+\beta)\nu)e_3+\gamma^{-1}\nu e_4, \ \mu, \ \nu\in \mathrm{GF}(q), \ \mu\neq 0 \ \mathrm{or} \ \nu\neq 0$ . Now  $y(w)=\lambda w, \ \lambda\in \mathrm{GF}(q), \ \lambda^3=1$ , which produces consecutively  $\nu\neq 0, \ \lambda=\gamma^{-1}\neq 1$ , whence  $\gamma^2+\gamma+1=0, \ \mu=0, \ \mathrm{and} \ \alpha+\beta=\gamma^2$ . This yields  $f(1)=1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma=\gamma^2+\gamma+1=0,$  an impossibility as f(t) is irreducible over  $\mathrm{GF}(q)$ .

Let dim W=2. Then the characteristic polynomial of  $z_{|W|}$  has degree two and must divide the polynomial  $(t+\gamma^{-1})f(t)$ , again contradicting the irreducibility of f(t).

Lastly, let dim W=3. The subspace  $U=\langle e_1,e_2,e_3\rangle$  of V is  $\langle z\rangle$ -invariant. Suppose that  $W\neq U$ . Then  $U\cap W$  is a 2-dimensional  $\langle z\rangle$ -invariant subspace of V, which (as shown above) is impossible. Thus W=U, but obviously U is not  $\langle x\rangle$ -invariant, a contradiction. The lemma is proved.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.2**. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G having an element of order

 $q^3-1$ . Then M is the stabilizer of a subspace W of V with dim W=1 or 3.

*Proof.* Suppose false. Then the list of maximal subgroups of G [4] implies that one of the following holds:

- 1)  $|M| = q^6(q-1)^3(q+1)^2$ .
- 2)  $|M| = 24(q-1)^3$  if q > 4.
- 3)  $|M| = 2q^2(q-1)^3(q+1)^2$ .
- 4)  $|M| = 2q^2(q-1)(q+1)^2(q^2+1)$ .
- 5)  $M \cong SL_4(q_0)$  if  $q = q_0^r$  and r is a prime,  $|M| = q_0^6(q_0 - 1)^3(q_0 + 1)^2(q_0^2 + 1)(q_0^2 + q_0 + 1).$
- 6)  $M \cong \operatorname{Sp}_4(q)$ ,  $|M| = q^4(q-1)^2(q+1)^2(q^2+1)$ .
- 7)  $M \cong SU_4(q_0)$  if  $q = q_0^2$ ,  $|M| = q_0^6(q_0 1)^2(q_0 + 1)^3(q_0^2 + 1)(q_0^2 q_0 + 1)$ . As  $q^3 - 1$  divides |M| and as  $(q^2 + q + 1, 2(q + 1)(q^2 + 1)) = 1$ , in cases 1), 2), 3), 4), 6) it follows that  $q^2 + q + 1$  divides  $(q - 1)^2$ ,  $3(q - 1)^2$ ,  $(q - 1)^2$ , 1, q - 1, respectively. This is easily seen to be impossible. Similarly, in case 7) it follows that  $q_0^2 + q_0 + 1$  divides  $q_0 - 1$ . In case 5), if r > 2, then  $(q^3 - 1, 2(q_0 + 1)(q_0^2 + 1)) = 1$  and hence  $q^3 - 1$  divides  $(q_0 - 1)^3(q_0^2 + q_0 + 1)$ . This is impossible as  $(q_0 - 1)^3(q_0^2 + q_0 + 1) < q_0^6 - 1 < q_0^{3r} - 1 = q^3 - 1$ . Lastly, in case 5) and r = 2, as  $(q_0^2 - q_0 + 1, 2(q_0 - 1)(q_0^2 + 1)) = 1$ , it follows that  $q_0^2 - q_0 + 1$  divides  $q_0 + 1$ , which yields  $q_0 = 2$  and q = 4. However, then  $M \cong SL_4(2) \cong A_8$  has no element of order  $4^3 - 1 = 63$ , a contradiction. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

We can now complete the proof of the theorem. Assume that  $H \neq G$ . Let M be a maximal subgroup of G containing H. As M has an element z of order  $q^3 - 1$ , Lemma 2.2 implies that M is the stabilizer of a subspace W of V with dim W = 1 or 3. But then W is H-invariant, which contradicts Lemma 2.1. Thus H = G and  $G = \langle x, y \rangle$  is a (2,3)-generated group.

#### REFERENCES

- Cohen, J. On non-Hurwitz groups and noncongruence of the modular group. Glasgow Math. J., 22, 1981, 1-7.
- Dey, I. M. S., J. Wiegold. Generators for alternating and symmetric groups. J. Austr. Math. Soc., 12, 1971, 63-68.
- Macbeath, A. M. Generators of the linear fractional groups. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 12, 1969, 14-32.
- Mwene, B. On the subgroups of the group PSL<sub>4</sub>(2<sup>m</sup>). J. Algebra, 41, 1976, 79-107.

5. Tamburini, M. C., S. Vassallo. (2,3)-generazione di  $SL_4(q)$  in caratteristica dispari e problemi collegati. *Boll. U. M. I.*, (7) 8-B, 1994, 121–134.

Received on January 15, 2003

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics "St. Kl. Ohridski" University of Sofia 5, J. Bourchier blvd., 1164 Sofia BULGARIA

E-mail: kerope@fmi.uni-sofia.bg