

ГОДИШНИК НА СОФИЙСКИЯ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ „СВ. КЛИМЕНТ ОХРИДСКИ“

ФАКУЛТЕТ ПО МАТЕМАТИКА И ИНФОРМАТИКА

Том 107

ANNUAL OF SOFIA UNIVERSITY “ST. KLIMENT OHRIDSKI”

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS

Volume 107

---

REPRESENTATION OF NATURAL NUMBERS BY  
SUMS OF FOUR SQUARES OF ALMOST-PRIME  
HAVING A SPECIAL FORM

ZHIVKO H. PETROV AND TATYANA. L. TODOROVA

In this paper we consider the equation  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = N$ , where  $N$  is a sufficiently large integer and prove that if  $\eta$  is quadratic irrational number and  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{10}$ , then it has a solution in almost-prime numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_4$ , such that  $\{\eta x_i\} < N^{-\lambda}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ .

**Keywords:** Lagrange’s equation, almost-primes, quadratic irrational numbers.

**2020 Math. Subject Classification:** Primary 11P05; Secondary 11N36.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

In 1770 Lagrange proved that for any positive integer  $N$  the equation

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = N \tag{1.1}$$

has a solution in integer numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_4$ . Later Jacobi found an exact formula for the number of the solutions (see [8, Ch. 20]). A lot of researchers studied the equation (1.1) for solvability in integers satisfying additional conditions. There is a hypothesis stating that if  $N$  is sufficiently large and  $N \equiv 4 \pmod{24}$  then (1.1) has a solution in primes. This hypothesis has not been proved so far, but several approximations to it have been established.

In 1994 J. Brüdern and E. Fouvry [1] proved that for any large  $N \equiv 4 \pmod{24}$ , the equation (1.1) has a solution in  $x_1, \dots, x_4 \in \mathcal{P}_{34}$ . (We say that integer  $n$  is an almost-prime of order  $r$  if  $n$  has at most  $r$  prime factors, counted with their multiplicities. We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_r$  the set of all almost-primes of order  $r$ .) This result was improved by D. R. Heath-Brown and D. I. Tolev [9]. They showed that, under the same restrictions for  $N$ , the equation (1.1) has a solution in prime  $x_1$  and almost-prime  $x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathcal{P}_{101}$ . In their paper they also proved that the equation has a solution in  $x_1, \dots, x_4 \in \mathcal{P}_{25}$ . In 2020 Tak Wing Ching [2] improved this result with three of them being in  $\mathcal{P}_3$  and the other in  $\mathcal{P}_4$ .

On the other hand, let us consider a subset of the set of integers having the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \{n \mid a < \{\eta n\} < b\},$$

where  $\eta$  is a fixed quadratic irrational number, and  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ .

Denote by  $I(N)$  the number of solutions of (1.1) in arbitrary integers and by  $J(N)$  the number of solutions of (1.1) in integers from the set  $\mathcal{A}$ .

In 2011 S. A. Gritsenko and N. N. Motkina [6] proved that for any positive small  $\varepsilon$ , the following formula holds

$$J(N) = (b - a)^4 I(N) + O(N^{0.9+3\varepsilon}).$$

S. A. Gritsenko and N. N. Motkina consider many others additive problem in witch variables are in special set of numbers similar to  $\mathcal{A}$ . (See [4] – [5] and [7].) In 2013 A. V. Shutov [12] considered solvability of diophantine equation in integer numbers from  $\mathcal{A}$ . Further research in this area was made by A. V. Shutov and A. A. Zhukova [13].

We consider the equation (1.1), where  $x_i$  are almost-prime numbers and belong to a set similar to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Our result is

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\eta$  be a quadratic irrational number,  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{10}$  and  $k = \left\lceil \frac{54}{1-10\lambda} \right\rceil$ . Then for every sufficiently large integer  $N$ , the equation (1.1) has a solution in almost-prime numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_4 \in \mathcal{P}_k$ , such that  $\{\eta x_i\} < N^{-\lambda}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .*

In the present paper we use the following notations.

We denote by  $N$  a sufficiently large odd integer and  $P = N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Letters  $a, b, k, l, m, n, q, p$  always stand for integers. By  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  we denote the greatest common divisor of  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ . Let  $\|t\|$  denote the distance from  $t$  to the nearest integer. We denote by  $\vec{n}$  four dimensional vectors and let

$$|\vec{n}| = \max(|n_1|, \dots, |n_4|). \tag{1.2}$$

As usual,  $\mu(q)$  is the Möbius function and  $\tau(q)$  is the number of positive divisors of  $q$ . Sometimes we write  $a \equiv b(q)$  as an abbreviation of  $a \equiv b \pmod{q}$ .

We write  $\sum_{x \pmod{q}}$  for a sum over a complete system of residues modulo  $q$  and respectively  $\sum_{x \pmod{q}}^*$  is a sum over a reduced system of residues modulo  $q$ . We also denote  $e(t) = e^{2\pi it}$ .

We use Vinogradov's notation  $A \ll B$ , which is equivalent to  $A = O(B)$ . By  $\varepsilon$  we denote an arbitrarily small positive number, which is not the same in different occurrences. The constants in the  $O$ -terms and  $\ll$ -symbols are absolute or depend on  $\varepsilon$ .

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Now we introduce some lemmas, which shall be used later.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $D \in \mathbb{R}, D > 4$ . There exist arithmetical functions  $\lambda^\pm(d)$  (called Rosser's functions of level  $D$ ) with the following properties:*

1. *For any positive integer  $d$  we have*

$$|\lambda^\pm(d)| \leq 1, \quad \lambda^\pm(d) = 0 \quad \text{if } d > D \quad \text{or} \quad \mu(d) = 0.$$

2. *If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then*

$$\sum_{d|n} \lambda^-(d) \leq \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \leq \sum_{d|n} \lambda^+(d).$$

3. *If  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $z^2 \leq D$  and if*

$$P(z) = \prod_{2 < p < z} p, \quad \mathcal{B} = \prod_{2 < p < z} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right), \quad \mathcal{N}^\pm = \sum_{d|P(z)} \frac{\lambda^\pm(d)}{\varphi(d)}, \quad s_0 = \frac{\log D}{\log z}, \quad (2.1)$$

*then we have*

$$\mathcal{B} \leq \mathcal{N}^+ \leq \mathcal{B} \left( F(s_0) + O\left((\log D)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right) \right), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{B} \geq \mathcal{N}^- \geq \mathcal{B} \left( f(s_0) + O\left((\log D)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right) \right), \quad (2.3)$$

*where  $F(s)$  and  $f(s)$  satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} F(s) &= 2e^\gamma s^{-1}, & \text{if } 2 \leq s \leq 3, \\ f(s) &= 2e^\gamma s^{-1} \log(s-1), & \text{if } 2 \leq s \leq 3, \\ (sF(s))' &= f(s-1), & \text{if } s > 3, \\ (sf(s))' &= F(s-1), & \text{if } s > 2. \end{aligned}$$

*Here  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant.*

*Proof.* See Greaves [3, Chapter 4]. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that  $\Lambda_i, \Lambda_i^\pm$  are real numbers satisfying  $\Lambda_i = 0$  or  $1$ ,  $\Lambda_i^- \leq \Lambda_i \leq \Lambda_i^+$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \Lambda_3 \Lambda_4 \geq & \Lambda_1^- \Lambda_2^+ \Lambda_3^+ \Lambda_4^+ + \Lambda_1^+ \Lambda_2^- \Lambda_3^+ \Lambda_4^+ + \Lambda_1^+ \Lambda_2^+ \Lambda_3^- \Lambda_4^+ + \\ & + \Lambda_1^+ \Lambda_2^+ \Lambda_3^+ \Lambda_4^- - 3\Lambda_1^+ \Lambda_2^+ \Lambda_3^+ \Lambda_4^+. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of [1, Lemma 13]. □

Let

$$w_0(t) = \begin{cases} e^{t^2 - \frac{1}{25}} & \text{if } t \in \left(-\frac{4}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\right), \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin \left(-\frac{4}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\right) \end{cases}$$

and

$$w(x) = w_0\left(\frac{x}{P} - \frac{1}{2}\right). \quad (2.5)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $u, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and*

$$J(\beta, u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w_0\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) e(\beta x^2 + ux) dx. \quad (2.6)$$

*Then:*

1. *For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u \neq 0$  we have*

$$J(\beta, u) \ll_k \frac{1 + |\beta|^k}{|u|^k}.$$

2. *The following inequality hold*

$$J(\beta, u) \ll \min\left(1, |\beta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

*Proof.* See [9, Lemma 9]. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$  and*

$$J(\beta, \vec{u}) = \prod_{i=1}^4 J(\beta, u_i).$$

*Then we have*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |J(\beta, \vec{u})| d\gamma \ll |\vec{u}|^{-1+\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Proof can be find in [9, Lemma 10]. □

**Lemma 2.5.** *There exists a function  $\sigma(v, q, \gamma)$  defined for  $-\frac{q}{2} < v \leq \frac{q}{2}$ ,  $q \leq P$ ,  $|\gamma| \leq \frac{P}{q}$ , integrable with respect to  $\gamma$ , satisfying*

$$|\sigma(v, q, \gamma)| \leq \frac{1}{1 + |v|}$$

and also for every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{-\frac{q}{2} < v \leq \frac{q}{2}} e\left(\frac{\bar{a}v}{q}\right) \sigma(v, q, \gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma \in \mathcal{N}(a, q), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(a, q) = \left( -\frac{P^2}{q(q+q')}, \frac{P^2}{q(q+q'')} \right]$$

and

$$P < q + q', q + q'' \leq P + q, \quad aq' \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \quad aq'' \equiv -1 \pmod{q}. \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* See [15, Lemma 45]. □

For  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the Gauss sum is defined by

$$G(q, m, n) = \sum_{x(q)} e\left(\frac{mx^2 + nx}{q}\right). \quad (2.8)$$

For  $\vec{d} = \langle d_1, \dots, d_4 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^4$  and  $\vec{n} = \langle n_1, \dots, n_4 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^4$  we denote

$$G(q, a\vec{d}^2, \vec{n}) = \prod_{i=1}^4 G(q, ad_i^2, n_i).$$

We need to estimate an exponential sum of the form

$$V_q = V_q(N, \vec{d}, v, \vec{n}) = \sum_{a(q)}^* e\left(\frac{\bar{a}v - Na}{q}\right) G(q, a\vec{d}^2, \vec{n}). \quad (2.9)$$

To estimate  $V_q$  we use the properties of the Gauss sum and the Kloosterman sum.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Suppose that  $N, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\vec{d}, \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ . Then we have*

$$V_q(N, \vec{d}, v, \vec{n}) \ll q^{\frac{5}{2}} \tau(q)(q, N)^{\frac{1}{2}}(q, d_1)(q, d_2)(q, d_3)(q, d_4).$$

Moreover, if some of the conditions

$$(q, d_i) | n_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4$$

do not hold, then  $V_q(N, \vec{d}, v, \vec{n}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* This result is analogous to this one in [1, Lemma 1]. □

**Lemma 2.7.** (*Liouville*) *If  $\eta$  is an irrational number which is the root of a polynomial  $f$  of degree 2 with integer coefficients, then there exists a real number  $A > 0$  such that, for all integers  $p, q$ , with  $q > 0$ ,*

$$\left| \eta - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \frac{A}{q^2}.$$

*Proof.* See [11, Theorem 1A]. □

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

#### 3.1. BEGINNING OF THE PROOF

Let  $N$  be a sufficiently large integer. We denote

$$z = N^\alpha, \quad P(z) = \prod_{p < z} p, \quad \delta = N^{-\lambda}.$$

We apply the well-known Vinogradov's "little cups" lemma (see [10, Chapter 1, Lemma A]) with parameters

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\delta}{4}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{3\delta}{4}, \quad \Delta = \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad r = [\log N]$$

and construct a function  $\theta(t)$  which is periodic with period 1 and has the following properties:

$$\theta\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right) = 1; \quad 0 < \theta(t) < 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < t < \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\delta}{2} < t < \delta;$$

$$\theta(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \delta \leq t \leq 1.$$

Furthermore, from the Fourier series of  $\theta(t)$  we find

$$\theta(t) = \frac{\delta}{2} + \sum_{\substack{0 < |m| \leq H \\ m \neq 0}} c(m) e(mt) + O(P^{-A}), \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$|c(m)| \leq \min \left( \frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{1}{|m|} \left( \frac{[\log N]}{\delta \pi |m|} \right)^{[\log N]} \right),$$

where  $A$  is arbitrary large constant and

$$H = \frac{[\log N]^2}{\delta}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let us denote

$$\theta(\eta\vec{x}) = \theta(\eta x_1)\theta(\eta x_2)\theta(\eta x_3)\theta(\eta x_4)$$

and

$$w(\vec{x}) = w(x_1)w(x_2)w(x_3)w(x_4).$$

We consider the sum

$$\Gamma = \sum_{\substack{x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2=N \\ (x_i, P(z))=1, i=1,2,3,4}} \theta(\eta\vec{x})w(\vec{x}).$$

From the condition  $(x_i, P(z)) = 1$  it follows that any prime factor of  $x_i$  is greater than or equal to  $z$ . Suppose that  $x_i$  has  $l$  prime factors, counted with their multiplicities. Then we have

$$N^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq x_i \geq z^l = N^{\alpha l}$$

and hence  $l \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha}$ . This implies that if  $\Gamma > 0$  then equation (1.1) has a solution in almost-prime numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_4$  with at most  $\lceil \frac{1}{2\alpha} \rceil$  prime factors, such that  $\{\eta x_i\} < N^{-\lambda}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ .

For  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  we define

$$\Lambda_i = \sum_{d|(x_i, P(z))} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x_i, P(z)) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Then we find that

$$\Gamma = \sum_{x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2=N} \Lambda_1\Lambda_2\Lambda_3\Lambda_4\theta(\eta\vec{x})w(\vec{x}).$$

We can write  $\Gamma$  as

$$\Gamma = \sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_1\Lambda_2\Lambda_3\Lambda_4\theta(\eta\vec{x})w(\vec{x}) \int_0^1 e(\alpha(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 - N)) d\alpha.$$

Suppose that  $\lambda^\pm(d)$  are the Rosser functions of level  $D$  (see Lemma 2.1). Let also denote

$$\Lambda_i^\pm = \sum_{d|(x_i, P(z))} \lambda^\pm(d), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{3.4}$$

Then from Lemma 2.1, (3.3) and (3.4) we find that

$$\Lambda_i^- \leq \Lambda_i \leq \Lambda_i^+.$$

We use Lemma 2.2 and find that

$$\Gamma \geq \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 - 3\Gamma_5,$$

where  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_5$  are the contributions coming from the consecutive terms of the right side of (2.4). We have  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 = \Gamma_4$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_1^- \Lambda_2^+ \Lambda_3^+ \Lambda_4^+ \theta(\eta \vec{x}) w(\vec{x}) \int_0^1 e(\alpha(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 - N)) d\alpha, \\ \Gamma_5 &= \sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_1^+ \Lambda_2^+ \Lambda_3^+ \Lambda_4^+ \theta(\eta \vec{x}) w(\vec{x}) \int_0^1 e(\alpha(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 - N)) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\Gamma \geq 4\Gamma_1 - 3\Gamma_5. \tag{3.5}$$

### 3.2. ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR $\Gamma_1$

We shall find an asymptotic formula for the integral  $\Gamma_1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \sum_{d_i | P(z)} \lambda^-(d_1) \lambda^+(d_2) \lambda^+(d_3) \lambda^+(d_4) \sum_{x_i \equiv 0(d_i)} \theta(\eta \vec{x}) w(\vec{x}) \times \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 e(\alpha(x_1^2 + \dots + x_4^2 - N)) d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{d_i | P(z)} \lambda^-(d_1) \lambda^+(d_2) \lambda^+(d_3) \lambda^+(d_4) \times \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \left( \sum_{x \equiv 0(d_i)} \theta(\eta x) w(x) e(\alpha x^2) \right) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$S(\alpha, d, m) = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{Z} \\ x \equiv 0(d)}} w(x) e(\alpha x^2 + m\eta x). \tag{3.6}$$

Then using the Fourier series of  $\theta(t)$  (see (3.1)), we find

$$\sum_{x \equiv 0(d)} \theta(\eta x) w(x) e(\alpha x^2) = \sum_{|m| \leq H} c(m) \sum_{x \equiv 0(d)} w(x) e(\alpha x^2 + m\eta x) + O(P^{-A}).$$

Denoting

$$S(\alpha, \vec{d}, \vec{m}) = S(\alpha, d_1, m_1) S(\alpha, d_2, m_2) S(\alpha, d_3, m_3) S(\alpha, d_4, m_4) \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\lambda(\vec{d}) = \lambda^-(d_1) \lambda^+(d_2) \lambda^+(d_3) \lambda^+(d_4), \tag{3.8}$$



we find that

$$\Gamma_1 = \sum_{d_i|P(z)} \lambda(\vec{d}) \sum_{\substack{|m_i| \leq H \\ i=1,2,3,4}} c(m_i) \int_0^1 S(\alpha, \vec{d}, \vec{m}) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha + O(1).$$

We divide  $\Gamma_1$  into two parts:

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1^0 + \Gamma_1^* + O(1),$$

where

$$\Gamma_1^0 = c^4(0) \sum_{d_i|P(z)} \lambda(\vec{d}) \sum_{\substack{x_i \equiv 0(d_i) \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = N}} w(\vec{x})$$

and

$$\Gamma_1^* = \sum_{d_i|P(z)} \lambda(\vec{d}) \sum_{\substack{0 < |m_i| \leq H \\ i=1,2,3,4}} c(m_i) \int_0^1 S(\alpha, \vec{d}, \vec{m}) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence

$$\Gamma \geq 4\Gamma_1^0 - 3\Gamma_5^0 + O(\Gamma_1^*) + O(\Gamma_5^*) + O(1). \quad (3.10)$$

According to [1] and [9], for  $D \leq P^{1/8-\varepsilon}$ ,  $s = \frac{\log D}{\log z} = 3.13$  the estimate

$$4\Gamma_1^0 - 3\Gamma_5^0 \gg \frac{C\delta N}{(\log N)^4} + O(\delta P^{3/2+\varepsilon} D^4) \quad (3.11)$$

with some constant  $C$  is obtained. Thus it suffices to evaluate  $\Gamma_1^*$  and  $\Gamma_5^*$ .

### 3.3. ESTIMATION OF $\Gamma_1^*$

In this subsection we find the upper bound for  $\Gamma_1^*$  defined in (3.9). The function in the integral in  $\Gamma_1^*$  is periodic with period 1, so we can integrate over the interval  $\mathcal{I}$  defined as

$$\mathcal{I} = \left( \frac{1}{1 + [P]}, 1 + \frac{1}{1 + [P]} \right).$$

We apply the Kloosterman form of the Hardy-Littlewood circle method. We divide the interval only into large arcs. Using the properties of the Farey fractions, we represent  $\mathcal{I}$  as an union of disjoint intervals in the following way:

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{q \leq P} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \mathcal{L}(a, q),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(a, q) = \left[ \frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{q(q+q')}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{q(q+q'')} \right]$$

and where the integers  $q', q''$  are specified in (2.7). Then

$$\Gamma_1^* = \sum_{d_i|P(z)} \lambda(\vec{d}) \sum_{\substack{0 < |m_i| \leq H \\ i=1,2,3,4}} c(m_i) \sum_{q \leq P} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \int_{\mathcal{L}(a,q)} S(\alpha, \vec{d}, \vec{m}) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha.$$

We change variable of integration  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1^* &= \sum_{d_i|P(z)} \lambda(\vec{d}) \sum_{\substack{0 < |m_i| \leq H \\ i=1,2,3,4}} c(m_i) \sum_{q \leq P} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \times \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathcal{M}(a,q)} S\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta, \vec{d}, \vec{m}\right) e\left(-N\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right)\right) d\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(a, q) = \left[ -\frac{1}{q(q+q')}, \frac{1}{q(q+q'')} \right].$$

From (2.7) we find that

$$\left[ -\frac{1}{2qP}, \frac{1}{2qP} \right] \subset \mathcal{M}(a, q) \subset \left[ -\frac{1}{qP}, \frac{1}{qP} \right]$$

and hence

$$|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{qP} \quad \text{for } \beta \in \mathcal{M}(a, q). \quad (3.12)$$

Now we consider the sum  $S(\alpha, d_i, m_i)$  defined in (3.6). As  $\eta$  is irrational number,  $\|s\eta\| \neq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using that fact and working as in the proof of [9, Lemma 12], we find that for  $\beta \in \mathcal{M}(a, q)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} S\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta, d_i, m_i\right) &= \frac{P}{d_i q} \sum_{|n - m_i d_i q \eta| < M_i} J\left(\beta P^2, \left(m_i \eta - \frac{n}{d_i q}\right) P\right) G(q, a d_i^2, n) + \\ &\quad + O(P^{-B}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where  $G(q, m, n)$  and  $J(\gamma, u)$  are defined respectively by (2.8) and (2.6),  $B$  is an arbitrarily large constant,  $M_i = d_i P^\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrarily small and the constant in the  $O$ -term depends only on  $B$  and  $\varepsilon$ . We leave the verification of the last formula to the reader.

Let

$$F(P, \vec{d}) = \sum_{\substack{0 < |m_i| \leq H \\ i=1,2,3,4}} c(m_i) \sum_{q \leq P} \sum_{a(q)}^* e\left(-\frac{aN}{q}\right) \int_{\mathcal{M}(a,q)} S\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta, \vec{d}, \vec{m}\right) e(-\beta N) d\beta.$$

It is obvious that

$$\Gamma_1^* = \sum_{d_i|P(z)} \lambda(\vec{d}) F(P, \vec{d}). \quad (3.14)$$

Using (3.13) and Lemma 2.3 we get

$$F(P, \vec{d}) = F^*(P, \vec{d}) + O(1), \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(P, \vec{d}) &= \frac{P^4}{d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4} \sum_{\substack{0 < |m_i| \leq H \\ i=1,2,3,4}} c(m_i) \sum_{q \leq P} \frac{1}{q^4} \sum_{a(q)}^* e\left(-\frac{aN}{q}\right) \times \\ &\times \sum_{|n_i - m_i d_i q \eta| < M_i} G(q, ad_i^2, \vec{n}) \int_{\mathcal{N}(a,q)} J\left(\beta P^2, \left(\vec{m}\eta - \frac{\vec{n}}{dq}\right)P\right) e(-\gamma) d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.5 and working as in the proof of [14, Lemma 2] we find that

$$F^*(P, \vec{d}) = F'(P, \vec{d}) + O(P^{3/2+\varepsilon}), \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F'(P, \vec{d}) &= \frac{P^2}{d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4} \sum_{\substack{0 < |m_i| \leq H \\ i=1,2,3,4}} c(m_i) \sum_{q \leq P} \frac{1}{q^4} \sum_{\substack{|n_i - m_i d_i q \eta| < M_i \\ (q, d_i) | n_i, i=1, \dots, 4}} V_q(N, \vec{d}, 0, \vec{n}) \times \\ &\times \int_{|\gamma| \leq \frac{P}{2q}} J\left(\gamma, \left(\vec{m}\eta - \frac{\vec{n}}{dq}\right)P\right) e(-\gamma) d\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and  $V_q(N, \vec{d}, 0, \vec{n})$  is defined by (2.9). We represent the sum  $F'(P, \vec{d})$  as

$$F'(P, \vec{d}) = F_1 + F_2, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $F_1$  is the contribution of these addends with  $q \leq Q$  and  $F_2$  for addends with  $Q < q \leq P$ . Here  $Q$  is parameter, which we choose later. Using Lemma 2.3 (2), Lemma 2.6 and (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &\ll \frac{P^2 \delta^4}{d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4} \sum_{\substack{0 < |m_i| \leq H \\ i=1,2,3,4}} \sum_{Q < q \leq P} \frac{q^{5/2} \tau(q) (q, N)^{1/2} (q, d_1) \dots (q, d_4)}{q^4} \times \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{|n_i - m_i d_i q \eta| < M_i \\ (q, d_i) | n_i, i=1, \dots, 4}} 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

It is clear that the sum over  $\vec{n}$  in the expression above is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \sum_{\substack{-\frac{M_i + m_i d_i q \eta}{(q, d_i)} < t_i < \frac{M_i + m_i d_i q \eta}{(q, d_i)}}} 1 \ll \frac{M_1 M_2 M_3 M_4}{(q, d_1)(q, d_2)(q, d_3)(q, d_4)} \\ &\ll \frac{P^\varepsilon d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4}{(q, d_1)(q, d_2)(q, d_3)(q, d_4)}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.18) and (3.2), gives

$$F_2 \ll P^{2+\varepsilon} \sum_{Q < q \leq P} \frac{\tau(q)(q, N)^{1/2}}{q^{3/2}}.$$

Now we apply Cauchy's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &\ll P^{2+\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{Q < q \leq P} \frac{\tau^2(q)}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{Q < q \leq P} \frac{(q, N)}{q^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll P^{2+\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{\substack{t|N \\ t \leq P}} t \sum_{\substack{Q/t < q_1 \leq P/t}} \frac{1}{t^2 q_1^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll \frac{P^{2+\varepsilon}}{Q^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

To evaluate  $F_1$  we firstly apply Lemma 2.4 to get

$$\int_{|\gamma| \leq \frac{P}{2q}} \left| J \left( \gamma, \left( m\vec{\eta} - \frac{\vec{n}}{dq} \right) P \right) \right| d\gamma \ll \left( \left| \left( m\vec{\eta} - \frac{\vec{n}}{dq} \right) P \right| \right)^{-1+\varepsilon}.$$

Then using Lemma 2.6 and (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &\ll \frac{P^2}{d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{q^{5/2} \tau(q)(q, N)^{1/2} (q, d_1) \dots (q, d_4)}{q^4} \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{|n_i - m_i d_i q \eta| < M_i \\ (q, d_i) | n_i, i=1, \dots, 4}} \frac{1}{\left| \left( m\vec{\eta} - \frac{\vec{n}}{dq} \right) P \right|}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

It is clear that if  $n_i = (q, d_i)t_i$ ,  $d_i = (q, d_i)d'_i$  and

$$\left| \left( m_i \eta - \frac{n_i}{d_i q} \right) P \right| = \frac{P(q, d_i)}{q d'_i} |t_i - m_i d'_i \eta q|,$$

then the sum over  $\left( m\vec{\eta} - \frac{\vec{n}}{dq} \right) P$  in the expression above is

$$\ll \frac{q}{P} \sum_{|t_i - m_i d'_i \eta q| < \frac{M_i}{(q, d_i)}} \frac{1}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} (q, d_i) |t_i - m_i d'_i \eta q| / d_i}. \tag{3.21}$$

Let  $t_1^o$  is such that

$$|t_1^o - m_1 d'_1 \eta q| = | - m_1 d'_1 \eta q | = | m_1 d'_1 \eta q |.$$

As  $\eta$  is quadratic irrational number, then  $|m_1 d'_1 \eta q| \neq 0$  and for  $t_1 \neq t_1^o$  we have  $|t_1 - m_1 d'_1 \eta q| \geq 1/2$ . Hence

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \frac{(q, d_i) |t_i - m_i d'_i \eta q|}{d_i} \gg \frac{(q, d_1)}{d_1},$$

which, together with (3.21), gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q}{P} \sum_{\substack{|t_i - m_i d'_i q \eta| < \frac{M_i}{(q, d_i)} \\ 1 \leq i \leq 4}} \frac{1}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} (q, d_i) |t_i - m_i d'_i q \eta| / d_i} \\ & \ll \frac{q}{P} \left( \frac{d_1 M_1 M_2 M_3 M_4}{(q, d_1)^2 (q, d_2) (q, d_3) (q, d_4)} + \frac{d_1 M_2 M_3 M_4}{(q, d_1) (q, d_2) (q, d_3) (q, d_4) \|m_1 d'_1 q \eta\|} \right) \\ & \ll \frac{q P^{\varepsilon-1} D d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4}{(q, d_1)^2 (q, d_2) (q, d_3) (q, d_4)} + \frac{q P^{\varepsilon-1} d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4}{(q, d_1) (q, d_2) (q, d_3) (q, d_4) \|m_1 d'_1 q \eta\|}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

As  $\eta$  is quadratic irrationality, it has periodic continued fraction and if  $\frac{a_n}{b_n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is the  $n$ -th convergent, then  $b_n \leq c^n$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . Using that  $\|m_1 d'_1 q\| \leq \frac{HDQ}{(d_1, q)}$  and Liouville's inequality for quadratic numbers (see Lemma 2.7), we can find convergent  $\frac{a}{b}$  to  $\eta$  with denominator such that

$$\frac{3HDQ}{(d_1, q)} < b \ll_c \frac{HDQ}{(d_1, q)}. \quad (3.23)$$

Since  $(a, b) = 1$  we have that  $m_1 d'_1 q \frac{a}{b} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . As  $\left| \eta - \frac{a}{b} \right| < \frac{1}{b^2}$  and (3.23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|m_1 d'_1 q \eta\| & \geq \left\| m_1 d'_1 q \frac{a}{b} \right\| - \left\| m_1 d'_1 q \left( \eta - \frac{a}{b} \right) \right\| \geq \left\| m_1 d'_1 q \frac{a}{b} \right\| - \frac{|m_1| d'_1 q}{b^2} \\ & > \frac{1}{b} - \frac{|m_1| d'_1 q (d_1, q)}{3bHDQ} \geq \frac{1}{b} - \frac{|m_1| d_1 q}{3bHDQ} \\ & > \frac{1}{b} - \frac{|m_1|}{3bH} \geq \frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{3b} = \frac{2}{3b} \\ & \gg \frac{(d_1, q)}{HDQ}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.21) and (3.22) it follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{|n_i - m_i d_i q \eta| < M_i \\ (q, d_i) | n_i, i=1, \dots, 4}} \frac{1}{|(\vec{m}\eta - \frac{\vec{n}}{d} )P|} \ll \frac{q P^{\varepsilon-1} d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 HDQ}{(q, d_1)^2 (q, d_2) (q, d_3) (q, d_4)}.$$

Then for  $F_1$  (see (3.20)) we obtain

$$F_1 \ll \frac{P^{1+\varepsilon} DQ}{\delta} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{\tau(q) (q, N)^{1/2}}{q^{1/2}}. \quad (3.24)$$

Applying Cauchy's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1 &\ll \frac{P^{1+\varepsilon}DQ}{\delta} \left( \sum_{q \leq Q} \tau^2(q) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{(q, N)}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\ll \frac{P^{1+\varepsilon}DQ}{\delta} \cdot Q^{1/2} (\log Q)^{3/2} \left( \sum_{\substack{t|N \\ t \leq Q}} \sum_{q_1 \leq \frac{Q}{t}} \frac{1}{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\ll \frac{P^{1+\varepsilon}DQ^{3/2}}{\delta}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

We choose  $Q = \delta^{1/2}P^{1/2}D^{-1/2}$ . Then

$$F_1, F_2 \ll P^{7/4+\varepsilon} \delta^{-1/4} D^{1/4}.$$

From (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), (3.17) it follows that

$$\Gamma_1^* \ll D^{17/4} P^{7/4+\varepsilon} \delta^{-1/4}.$$

The estimate of  $\Gamma_5^*$  goes along the same lines.

#### 3.4. END OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

From (3.10) and (3.11) we get

$$\Gamma \gg \frac{\delta N}{(\log N)^4} + D^{17/4} P^{7/4+\varepsilon} \delta^{-1/4}.$$

Then for a fixed small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\lambda < \frac{1-8\varepsilon}{10}$ ,  $D < N^{\frac{1-10\lambda-8\varepsilon}{34}}$  and  $z = D^{1/3,13}$  we get  $\Gamma \gg \frac{\delta N}{(\log N)^4}$ . So the equation (1.1) have solutions in almost-prime numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_4 \in \mathcal{P}_k$ ,  $k = \left\lceil \frac{53,21}{1-10\lambda-8\varepsilon} \right\rceil$  such that  $\{\eta x_i\} < N^{-\lambda}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thank Professor Doychin Tolev for his helpful comments and suggestions. Zhivko Petrov was partially supported by the Sofia University Research Fund through Grant 80-10-43/2020. Tatiana Todorova was partially supported by the Sofia University Research Fund through Grant 80-10-151/2020.

#### 4. REFERENCES

- [1] Brüdern, J. and Fouvry, E.: Lagrange's Four Squares Theorem with almost prime variables. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **454** (1994), 59–96.
- [2] Ching, Tak Wing: Lagrange's equation with almost-prime variables. *J. Number Theory* **212** (2020), 233–264.
- [3] Greaves, G.: *Sieves in number theory*, Springer, 2001.
- [4] Gritsenko, S. A. and Mot'kina, N. N.: Hua Loo Keng's problem involving primes of a special type. *IAP Tajikistan* **52**(7) (2009), 497–500.
- [5] Gritsenko, S. A. and Mot'kina, N. N.: On the solvability of Waring's equation involving natural numbers of a special type. *Chebyshevskii Sb.* **17**(1), (2016), 37–51.
- [6] Gritsenko, S. A. and Mot'kina, N. N.: Representation of natural numbers by sums of four squares of integers having a special form. *J. Math. Sci.* **173**(2) (2011), 194–200.
- [7] Gritsenko, S. A. and Mot'kina, N. N.: Waring's problem involving natural numbers of a special type. *Chebyshevskii Sb.* **15** (3), (2014), 31–47.
- [8] Hardy, G. H. and Wright, E. M.: *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 5-th ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1979.
- [9] Heath-Brown, D. R. and Tolev, D. I.: Lagrange's four squares theorem with one prime and three almost-prime variables. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **558** (2003), 159–224.
- [10] Karatsuba, A. A.: *Basic analytic number theory*, Springer, 1993.
- [11] Schidt, M. W.: *Diophantine approximation*, Springer, 1980.
- [12] Shutov, A. V.: On the additive problem with fractional numbers. *BSUSB Series "Mathematics. Physics"* **30** 5 (148) (2013), 11–120.
- [13] Shutov, A. V. and Zhukova, A. A.: Binary additive problem with numbers of a special type. *Chebyshevskii Sb.* **16** (3) (2015), 246–275.
- [14] Todorova, T. L. and Tolev, D. I.: On the equation  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = N$  with variables such that  $x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 + 1$  is an almost-prime. *Tatra Mountains Math. Publications* **59**. **I** (2014), 1–26.
- [15] Tolev, D. I.: *Additive problems in number theory*. Doctoral Dissertation, Moscow University "M. Lomonosov", Moscow, 2001, (in Russian).

*Received on December 17, 2020*

ZHIVKO H. PETROV AND TATYANA L. TODOROVA

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski"  
5 James Bourchier Blvd.

1164 Sofia  
BULGARIA

E-mails: zhpetrov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg  
tlt@fmi.uni-sofia.bg